arXiv:1108.2465v2 [math.DG] 12 Aug 2011

Deformations of G_2-structures with torsion

Sergey Grigorian
Simons Center for Geometry and Physics
Stony Brook University
Stony Brook, NY 11794
USA

August 15, 2011

Abstract

We consider non-infinitesimal deformations of G_2-structures on 7-dimensional manifolds and derive an exact expression for the torsion of the deformed G_2-structure. We then specialize to a case when the deformation is defined by a vector v and we explicitly derive the expressions for the different torsion components of the new G_2-structure in terms of the old torsion components and derivatives of v. In particular this gives a set of differential equations for the vector v which have to be satisfied for a transition between G_2-structures with particular torsions. For some specific torsion classes we find that these equations have no solutions.

1 Introduction

Seven-dimensional manifolds with G_2-structure have been studied for more than 40 years. Already in 1969, Alfred Gray studied vector cross products on manifolds [7], which on 7-manifolds do actually correspond to G_2-structures. Later on, Fernández and Gray classified the possible torsion classes of G_2-structures [5]. The concept of G_2-structures provides a classification for a large class of 7-manifolds. In fact, it is well-known that a 7-manifolds admits a G_2-structure if and only if the first two Stiefel-Whitney classes w_1 and w_2 vanish [5, 6]. Alternatively, a 7-manifold admits a G_2-structure if and only if it is orientable and admits a spin structure. A very important special case of a G_2-structure is when the torsion vanishes. This implies that the holonomy group lies in G_2. In Section 2 we give a more precise definition and an overview of the properties of G_2-structures.

Suppose we are given a 7-manifold that admits a G_2-structure, we can ask the question - G_2-structures of which torsion classes exist on it? This is of course a very difficult question, and it is still not clear how to approach this. However, we could start with some given G_2-structure, deform it and then require that the new G_2-structure lies in some particular torsion class. This is precisely what we attempt in this paper. In Section 5 we first derive an expression for the G_2-structure torsion for a general (non-infinitesimal) deformation, and then in Section 6, we specialize to a particular type of deformation - deformations that are defined by a vector (that is, the G_2 invariant 3-form is deformed by a 3-form lying in the 7-dimensional component of Λ^3). In this case, we obtain an explicit expression for the torsion of the deformed G_2-structure in terms of the old G_2-structure, its torsion and the vector which defines the deformation.

We then proceed to show that a deformation of this type takes a torsion-free G_2-structure to another torsion-free G_2-structure if and only if the vector that defines the deformation is parallel. Moreover we also show that on closed, compact manifolds there are no such deformations from strict torsion classes W_1, W_7, $W_1 \oplus W_7$ to the vanishing torsion class W_0, and vice versa.

Such deformations of G_2-structures have been first considered by Karigiannis in [12], where he wrote down the deformed metric and Hodge star operation, and indeed asked the question whether it is possible to deform a G_2-structure to a strictly smaller torsion class. This paper aims to give a partial answer to this question. Here we are mainly concerned with non-infinitesimal deformations, but infinitesimal deformations and flows of G_2-structures, and in particular properties of the moduli space of manifolds with G_2 holonomy have also been studied by Karigiannis [12, 13], Karigiannis and Leung [14] and by Grigorian and Yau [8, 9].

2 G_2-structures

The 14-dimensional group G_2 is the smallest of the five exceptional Lie groups and is closely related to the octonions. In particular, G_2 can be defined as the automorphism group of the octonion algebra. The restriction of octonion multiplication to just the imaginary octonions defines a vector cross product on $V = \mathbb{R}^7$ and vice versa. Moreover, the Euclidean inner product on V can also be defined in terms of octonion multiplication. The group that preserves the vector cross product is precisely G_2 and since it preserves the inner product as well, we can see that it is a subgroup of $SO(7)$. For more on the relationship between octonions and G_2, see [1, 8].The structure constants of the vector cross product define a particular 3-form on $\mathbb{R}^7$, hence G_2 can alternatively be defined in the following way.

Definition 1 *Let* $\left(e^1, e^2, ..., e^7\right)$ *be a basis for* V^*, *and denote* $e^i \wedge e^j \wedge e^k$ *by* e^{ijk}. *Then define* φ_0 *to be the* 3*-form on* $\mathbb{R}^7$ *given by*

$$\varphi_0 = e^{123} + e^{145} + e^{167} + e^{246} - e^{257} - e^{347} - e^{356}. \tag{2.1}$$

Then G_2 *is defined as the subgroup of* $GL(7, \mathbb{R})$ *which preserves* φ_0.

Suppose for some 3-form φ on V we define a bilinear form by

$$B_\varphi(u, v) = \frac{1}{6}(u \lrcorner \varphi) \wedge (v \lrcorner \varphi) \wedge \varphi \tag{2.2}$$

Here the symbol $\lrcorner$ denotes contraction of a vector with the differential form:

$$(u \lrcorner \varphi)_{mn} = u^a \varphi_{amn}.$$

Note that we will also use this symbol for contractions of differential forms using the metric. So for a p-form α and a $(p+q)$-form β, for $q \geq 0$,

$$(\alpha \lrcorner \beta)_{b_1 \dots b_q} = \alpha^{a_1 \dots a_p} \beta_{a_1 \dots a_p b_1 \dots b_q} \tag{2.3}$$

where the indices on α are raised using the metric.

Following Hitchin ([10]), B_φ is a symmetric bilinear form on V with values in the one-dimensional space $\Lambda^7 V^*$. Hence it defines a linear map $K_\varphi : V \longrightarrow V^* \times \Lambda^7 V^*$. Then taking the determinant we get $\det K_{\varphi} \in \left(\Lambda^7 V^*\right)^9$, so if this does not vanish, we choose a positive root - $(\det K_{\varphi})^{\frac{1}{9}} \in \Lambda^7 V^*$. Then we obtain an inner product on V

$$g_{\varphi}(u, v) = B_{\varphi}(u, v)(\det K_{\varphi})^{-\frac{1}{9}} \tag{2.4}$$

and the volume form of this inner product is then $(\det K_{\varphi})^{\frac{1}{9}}$. In components we can rewrite this as

$$(g_{\varphi})_{ab} = (\det s)^{-\frac{1}{9}} s_{ab}. \tag{2.5}$$

with

$$s_{ab} = \frac{1}{144}\varphi_{amn}\varphi_{bpq}\varphi_{rst}\hat{\varepsilon}^{mnpqrst} \tag{2.6}$$

where $\hat{\varepsilon}^{mnpqrst}$ is the alternating symbol with $\hat{\varepsilon}^{12\ldots7} = +1$.

Applying (2.2) to φ_0 as defined by (2.1), we recover the standard Euclidean metric on V:

$$g_0 = \left(e^1\right)^2 + \ldots + \left(e^7\right)^2. \tag{2.7}$$

As we know, the stabilizer of φ_0 in $GL(7, \mathbb{R})$ is G_2, which is 14-dimensional. Since $GL(7, \mathbb{R})$ is 49-dimensional, we find that the orbit of φ_0 in $\Lambda^3 V^*$ has dimension $49 - 14 = 35 = \dim \Lambda^3 V^*$. Hence the orbit of φ_0 is an open subset $\Lambda^3_+ \subset \Lambda^3 V^*$.

Definition 2 *Let V be a 7-dimensional real vector space. Then a 3-form φ is said to be* positive *if lies in the $GL(7, \mathbb{R})$ orbit of φ_0.*

In fact, in $\Lambda^3 V^*$ there are two open orbits of $GL(7, \mathbb{R})$ [2]. The second open orbit consists of 3-forms for which the metric defined by (2.4) has indefinite signature $(4, 3)$, and the corresponding stabilizer is the so-called *split* G_2. The 3-form that it stabilizes can be obtained by changing the minus signs to plus signs in the expression (2.1) for φ_0. The existence of these open orbits gives a notion of a *non-degenerate* 3-form on V - that is, a 3-form which lies in one of the open orbits [10]. Moreover, it turns out that non-degeneracy of a 3-form is equivalent to non-degeneracy of the corresponding metric. Thus if the determinant of the metric (2.5), or equivalently $\det(s_{ab})$ for s_{ab} in (2.6), is non-zero, then the 3-form is in one of the open orbits. If moreover, the metric is positive-definite, then the 3-form is positive.

Now, given a n-dimensional manifold M, a G-structure on M for some Lie subgroup G of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ is a reduction of the frame bundle F over M to a principal subbundle P with fibre G. The concept of a G-structure gives a convenient way of encoding different geometric structures. For example, an $O(n)$-structure is a reduction of the frame bundle to a subbundle with fibre $O(n)$. This defines an orthonormal frame at each point at M and thus we can define a Riemannian metric on M. Hence there is a 1-1 correspondence between $O(n)$-structures and Riemannian metrics. Similarly, an almost complex structure on a $2m$-dimensional manifold M is equivalent to a $GL(m, \mathbb{C})$-structure.

A G_2-structure is then a reduction of the frame bundle on a 7-dimensional manifold M to a G_2 principal subbundle. It turns out that there is a 1-1 correspondence between G_2-structures and positive 3-forms on the manifold. Define the bundle of positive 3-forms on M as the subset of 3-forms φ in $\Lambda^3 T^* M$ such that for every point p in M, $\varphi|_p \in \Lambda^3 T^*_p M$ is a positive 3-form in the sense of Definition 2. Using the G_2 principal bundle we can then define a positive 3-form φ on the whole manifold. Conversely, suppose we are given a positive 3-form. Then at each point p the subset of $GL(7,\mathbb{R})$ that identifies $\varphi|_p$ with φ_0 is isomorphic to G_2. Overall the whole manifold this will be a subset of the frame bundle F, and it is easy to show that this does give a principal subbundle with fibre G_2, and hence a G_2-structure.

Once we have a G_2-structure, since G_2 is a subgroup of $SO(7)$, we can also define a Riemannian metric. More concretely, if φ is the 3-form which defines the G_2-structure, then using (2.4) we can define a corresponding metric g. Following Joyce ([11]), we will adopt the following abuse of notation

Definition 3 *Let M be an oriented 7-manifold. The pair (φ, g) for a positive 3-form φ and corresponding metric g will be referred to as a G_2-structure.*

Since a G_2-structure defines a metric, it also defines a Hodge star. Thus we can construct another G_2-invariant object - the 4-form $*\varphi$. Since the Hodge star is defined by the metric, which in turn is defined by φ, the 4-form $*\varphi$ depends non-linearly on φ. For convenience we will usually denote $*\varphi$ by ψ. On $\mathbb{R}^7$, when φ is given by its canonical form φ_0 (2.1), ψ takes the following canonical form

$$\psi_0 = e^{4567} + e^{2367} + e^{2345} + e^{1357} - e^{1346} - e^{1256} - e^{1247}. \tag{2.8}$$

By considering the canonical forms φ_0 and ψ_0, we can write down various contraction identities for a G_2-structure (φ, g) and its corresponding 4-form ψ [3, 9, 13].

Proposition 4 *The 3-form φ and the corresponding 4-form ψ satisfy the following identities:*

$$\varphi_{abc}\varphi_{mn}{}^{c} = g_{am}g_{bn} - g_{an}g_{bm} + \psi_{abmn} \tag{2.9a}$$

$$\varphi_{abc}\psi_{mnp}{}^{c} = 3\left(g_{a[m}\varphi_{np]b} - g_{b[m}\varphi_{np]a}\right) \tag{2.9b}$$

$$\psi_{abcd}\psi^{mnpq} = 24\delta_a^{[m}\delta_b^{n}\delta_c^{p}\delta_d^{q]} + 72\psi_{[ab}{}^{[mn}\delta_c^{p}\delta_{d]}^{q]} - 16\varphi_{[abc}\varphi^{[mnp}\delta_{d]}^{q]} \tag{2.9c}$$

where $[m\ n\ p]$ denotes antisymmetrization of indices and δ_a^b is the Kronecker delta, with $\delta_b^a = 1$ if $a = b$ and 0 otherwise.

The above identities can be of course further contracted - the details can be found in [9, 13]. These identities and their contractions are crucial whenever any calculations involving φ and ψ have to be done.

For a general G-structure, the spaces of p-forms decompose according to irreducible representations of G. Given a G_2-structure, we have the following decomposition of p-forms:

$$\Lambda^1 = \Lambda^1_7 \tag{2.10a}$$

$$\Lambda^2 = \Lambda^2_7 \oplus \Lambda^2_{14} \tag{2.10b}$$

$$\Lambda^3 = \Lambda^3_1 \oplus \Lambda^3_7 \oplus \Lambda^3_{27} \tag{2.10c}$$

$$\Lambda^4 = \Lambda^4_1 \oplus \Lambda^4_7 \oplus \Lambda^4_{27} \tag{2.10d}$$

$$\Lambda^5 = \Lambda^5_7 \oplus \Lambda^5_{14} \tag{2.10e}$$

$$\Lambda^6 = \Lambda^6_7 \tag{2.10f}$$

The subscripts denote the dimension of representation and components which correspond to the same representation are isomorphic to each other. We have the following characterization of the various components [2, 3]:

Proposition 5 *Let M be a 7-manifold with a G_2-structure (φ, g). Then the components of spaces of* 2-, 3-, 4-, *and* 5-*forms are given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_7^2 &= \left\{\alpha \lrcorner \varphi\text{: } \alpha \in \Lambda_7^1\right\} \\
\Lambda_{14}^2 &= \left\{\omega \in \Lambda^2\text{: } (\omega_{ab}) \in \mathfrak{g}_2\right\} = \left\{\omega \in \Lambda^2\text{: } \omega \lrcorner \varphi = 0\right\} \\
\Lambda_1^3 &= \left\{f\varphi\text{: } f \in C^\infty(M)\right\} \\
\Lambda_7^3 &= \left\{\alpha \lrcorner \psi\text{: } \alpha \in \Lambda_7^1\right\} \\
\Lambda_{27}^3 &= \left\{\chi \in \Lambda^3 : \chi_{abc} = h_{[a}^{d}\varphi_{bc]d} \text{ for } h_{ab} \text{ traceless, symmetric}\right\} \\
\Lambda_1^4 &= \left\{f\psi\text{: } f \in C^\infty(M)\right\} \\
\Lambda_7^4 &= \left\{\alpha \wedge \varphi\text{: } \alpha \in \Lambda_7^1\right\} \\
\Lambda_{27}^4 &= \left\{\chi \in \Lambda^4 : \chi_{abcd} = h_{[a}^{e}\psi_{bcd]e} \text{ for } h_{ab} \text{ traceless, symmetric}\right\} \\
\Lambda_7^5 &= \left\{\alpha \wedge \psi\text{: } \alpha \in \Lambda_7^1\right\} \\
\Lambda_{14}^5 &= \left\{\omega \wedge \varphi\text{: } \omega \in \Lambda_{14}^2\right\}
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, we see that the 7-dimensional component of Λ^3 is defined by 1-forms (or equivalently, vectors), and the 27-dimensional component is given by traceless symmetric tensors. For convenience, and following [3], we will adopt the following notation for the map from symmetric tensors into Λ^3:

$$\mathrm{i}_\varphi : \mathrm{Sym}^2(V^*) \longrightarrow \Lambda^3 \quad \text{given by } \mathrm{i}_\varphi(h)_{abc} = h_{[a}^{d}\varphi_{bc]d} \tag{2.11}$$

and similarly, for the map from symmetric tensors into Λ^4:

$$\mathrm{i}_\psi : \mathrm{Sym}^2(V^*) \longrightarrow \Lambda^4 \quad \text{given by } \mathrm{i}_\psi(h)_{abcd} = h_{[a}^{e}\psi_{bcd]e}. \tag{2.12}$$

It is sometimes useful to be able to find projections of given p-form onto the different components. Here we collect some of these results [13, 9]:

Proposition 6 *Suppose ω is a 2-form. Then the projections $\pi_7(\omega)$ and $\pi_{14}(\omega)$ onto Λ_7^2 and Λ_{14}^2, respectively, are given by*

$$\pi_7(\omega) = \alpha \lrcorner \varphi \quad \text{where } \alpha = \frac{1}{6}\omega \lrcorner \varphi \tag{2.13a}$$

$$\pi_{14}(\omega) = \frac{2}{3}\omega - \frac{1}{6}\omega \lrcorner \psi \tag{2.13b}$$

Proposition 7 *Suppose χ is a 3-form. Then the projections $\pi_1(\chi)$, $\pi_7(\chi)$ and $\pi_{27}(\chi)$ onto Λ_1^3, Λ_7^3 and Λ_{27}^3, respectively, are given by*

$$\pi_1(\chi) = a\varphi \text{ where } a = \frac{1}{42}\chi \lrcorner \varphi \tag{2.14a}$$

$$\pi_7(\chi) = \omega \lrcorner \psi \text{ where } \omega = -\frac{1}{24}\chi \lrcorner \psi \tag{2.14b}$$

$$\pi_{27}(\chi) = \mathrm{i}_\varphi(h) \text{ where } h_{ab} = \frac{3}{4}\chi_{mn(a}\varphi_{b)}{}^{mn} - \frac{3}{28}(\chi \lrcorner \varphi)\, g_{ab} \tag{2.14c}$$

. Similarly, if χ is a 4-form the corresponding 4-form, the projections are

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_1(\chi) &= a\psi \textit{ where } a = \frac{1}{168}\chi\lrcorner\psi \qquad (2.15a)\\
\pi_7(\chi) &= \omega\wedge\varphi \textit{ where } \omega = -\frac{1}{24}\varphi\lrcorner\chi \qquad (2.15b)\\
\pi_{27}(\chi) &= \mathrm{i}_{\psi}(h) \textit{ where } h_{ab} = -\frac{1}{3}\chi_{mnp(a}\psi_{b)}{}^{mnp} + \frac{1}{21}(\chi\lrcorner\psi)\,g_{ab} \qquad (2.15c)
\end{aligned}$$

.

Proposition 8 *Suppose η is a 5-form. Then the projections $\pi_7(\eta)$ and $\pi_{14}(\eta)$ onto Λ^5_7 and Λ^5_{14}, respectively, are given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_7(\eta) &= \alpha\wedge\psi \quad \textit{where } \alpha = \frac{1}{72}\psi\lrcorner\eta \qquad (2.16a)\\
\pi_{14}(\eta) &= \omega\wedge\varphi \textit{ where } \omega = \frac{1}{9}\varphi\lrcorner\eta - \frac{1}{36}(\varphi\lrcorner\eta)\lrcorner\psi \qquad (2.16b)
\end{aligned}$$

.

Proof. Consider

$$\eta = \alpha\wedge\psi + \omega\wedge\varphi \tag{2.17}$$

where α is a 1-form and $\omega\in\Lambda^2_{14}$. Then,

$$(\psi\lrcorner\eta)_m = 5\psi^{abcd}\alpha_{[a}\psi_{bcd]m} + 10\psi^{abcd}\omega_{[ab}\varphi_{cd]m} \tag{2.18}$$

Using the contractions between φ and ψ from Proposition 4, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\psi\lrcorner\eta)_m &= 72\alpha_m + 24\varphi_m{}^{ab}\omega_{ab}\\
&= 72\alpha_m
\end{aligned}$$

since $\omega\lrcorner\varphi = 0$ for $\omega\in\Lambda^2_{14}$. Hence we get the π_7 projection.

Now for η as in (2.17), from definition of the Hodge star, we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\varphi\lrcorner\eta)_{ab} &= \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{abcde}{}^{mn}(*\eta)_{mn}\varphi^{abc}\\
&= 3(*\eta\lrcorner\psi)_{ab}\\
&= 12(\pi_7 * \eta)_{ab} - 6(\pi_{14} * \eta)_{ab} \qquad (2.19)
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, from Proposition 6,

$$\pi_{14}(\varphi\lrcorner\eta) = \frac{2}{3}(\varphi\lrcorner\eta) - \frac{1}{6}(\varphi\lrcorner\eta)\lrcorner\psi$$

and so,

$$\pi_{14}(*\eta) = -\frac{1}{9}(\varphi\lrcorner\eta) + \frac{1}{36}(\varphi\lrcorner\eta)\lrcorner\psi \tag{2.20}$$

However,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\pi_{14}(\eta)\wedge\varphi)_{mn} &= \frac{1}{12}\varepsilon_{mn}{}^{abcde}\pi_{14}(*\eta)_{ab}\varphi_{cde}\\
&= \frac{1}{2}(\pi_{14}(*\eta)\lrcorner\psi)_{mn}\\
&= -\pi_{14}(*\eta)_{mn}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\pi_{14}(\eta) = -\pi_{14}(*\eta) \wedge \varphi. \tag{2.21}$$

■

3 G_2-structure torsion

As before, suppose M is a 7-dimensional manifold with a G_2-structure (φ, g). The metric g defines a reduction of the frame bundle to a principal $SO(7)$-subbundle Q, that is, a subbundle of oriented orthonormal frames. The metric also defines a Levi-Civita connection ∇ on the tangent bundle TM, and hence on F. However, the G_2-invariant 3-form φ reduces the orthonormal bundle further to a principal G_2-subbundle Q. We can then pull back the Levi-Civita connection to Q. On Q we can uniquely decompose ∇ as

$$\nabla = \bar{\nabla} + \mathcal{T} \tag{3.1}$$

where $\bar{\nabla}$ is a G_2-compatible *canonical connection* $\bar{\nabla}$ on P, taking values in $\mathfrak{g}_2 \subset \mathfrak{so}(7)$, while $\mathcal{T}$ is a 1-form taking values in $\mathfrak{g}_2^{\perp} \subset \mathfrak{so}(7)$. This 1-form $\mathcal{T}$ is known as the *intrinsic torsion* of the G_2-structure. The intrinsic torsion is precisely the obstruction to the Levi-Civita connection being G_2-compatible. Note that $\mathfrak{so}(7)$ splits according to G_2 representations as

$$\mathfrak{so}(7) \cong \Lambda^2 V \cong \Lambda^2_7 \oplus \Lambda^2_{14}$$

but $\Lambda^2_{14} \cong \mathfrak{g}_2$, so the complement $\mathfrak{g}_2^{\perp} \cong \Lambda^2_7 \cong V$. Hence $\mathcal{T}$ can be represented by a tensor T_{ab} which lies in $W \cong V \otimes V$. Now, since φ is G_2-invariant, it is $\bar{\nabla}$-parallel, so the torsion is determined by $\nabla\varphi$.

Following [13], consider the 3-form $\nabla_X \varphi$ for some vector field X. It is easy to see

$$\nabla_X \varphi \in \Lambda^3_7 \tag{3.2}$$

and thus overall,

$$\nabla\varphi \in \Lambda^1_7 \otimes \Lambda^3_7 \cong W. \tag{3.3}$$

Thus $\nabla\varphi$ lies in the same space as T_{ab} and thus completely determines it. Given (3.3), we can write

$$\nabla_a \varphi_{bcd} = T_a{}^e \psi_{ebcd} \tag{3.4}$$

where T_{ab} is the *full torsion tensor*. From this we can also write

$$T_a{}^m = \frac{1}{24} \left(\nabla_a \varphi_{bcd}\right) \psi^{mbcd}. \tag{3.5}$$

This 2-tensor fully defines $\nabla\varphi$ since pointwise, it has 49 components and the space W is also 49-dimensional (pointwise). In general we can split T_{ab} into *torsion components* as

$$T = \tau_1 g + \tau_7 \lrcorner \varphi + \tau_{14} + \tau_{27} \tag{3.6}$$

where τ_1 is a function, and gives the **1** component of T. We also have τ_7, which is a 1-form and hence gives the **7** component, and, $\tau_{14} \in \Lambda^2_{14}$ gives the **14** component and τ_{27} is traceless symmetric, giving the **27** component. Note that the normalization of these components is different from [13]. Hence we can split W as

$$W = W_1 \oplus W_7 \oplus W_{14} \oplus W_{27}. \tag{3.7}$$

Originally the torsion of G_2-structures was studied by Fernández and Gray [5], and their analysis revealed that there are in fact a total of 16 torsion classes of G_2-structures. Later on, Karigiannis reproduced their results using simple computational arguments [13].The 16 torsion classes arise as the subsets of W which $\nabla\varphi$ belongs to.

Note that our notation differs from Fernández and Gray. Our τ_1 corresponds to their τ_0, τ_7 corresponds to τ_4, τ_{14} corresponds to τ_2 and τ_{27} corresponds to τ_3.

Moreover, as shown in [13], the torsion components τ_i relate directly to the expression for $d\varphi$ and $d\psi$. In fact, in our notation,

$$\begin{aligned} d\varphi &= 4\tau_1\psi - 3\tau_7 \wedge \varphi - *\tau_{27} && (3.8a)\\ d\psi &= -4\tau_7 \wedge \psi - 2 * \tau_{14}. && (3.8b) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to (3.4), we can express the covariant derivative of ψ in terms of T.

Lemma 9 *Given a G_2-structure defined by 3-form φ, with torsion $T_a{}^m$ given by (3.5), the covariant derivative of the corresponding 4-form ψ is given by*

$$\nabla_a \psi_{bcde} = -4T_{a[b}\varphi_{cde]} \tag{3.9}$$

Proof. Consider the identity (2.9a):

$$\varphi_{abc}\varphi^c{}_{mn} = g_{am}g_{bn} - g_{an}g_{bm} + \psi_{abmn}$$

Applying the covariant derivative to both sides, we get

$$\nabla_e \psi_{abmn} = (\nabla_e \varphi_{abc})\,\varphi^c{}_{mn} + \varphi_{abc}\,(\nabla_e \varphi^c{}_{mn})$$

Now using (3.4) and using contraction identities between φ and ψ, we get (3.9). ■

Suppose $d\varphi = d\psi = 0$. Then this means that all four torsion components vanish and hence $T = 0$, and as a consequence $\nabla\varphi = 0$. The converse is trivially true. This result is originally due to Fernández and Gray [5]. Moreover, a G_2-structure is torsion-free if and only if the holonomy of the corresponding metric is contained in G_2 [11].

The torsion tensor T_{ab} and hence the individual components τ_1,τ_7,τ_{14} and τ_{27} must also satisfy certain differential conditions. For the exterior derivative d, $d^2 = 0$, so from (3.8), must have

$$\begin{aligned} d\left(4\tau_1\psi - 3\tau_7 \wedge \varphi - *\tau_{27}\right) &= 0\\ d\left(4\tau_7 \wedge \psi + 2 * \tau_{14}\right) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Alternatively, note that we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(d^2\varphi\right)_{abcde} &= 20\nabla_{[a}\nabla_b\varphi_{cde]}\\ &= 20\nabla_{[a}T_b{}^f\psi_{|f|cde]} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\left(d^2\psi\right)_{abcdef} &= 30\nabla_{[a}\nabla_b\psi_{cdef]} \\ &= 30\nabla_{[a}T_{bc}\varphi_{def]}\end{aligned}$$

So in particular, we get conditions

$$\nabla_{[a}T_b{}^f\psi_{|f|cde]} = 0 \tag{3.10a}$$

$$\nabla_{[a}T_{bc}\varphi_{def]} = 0 \tag{3.10b}$$

From these, we get the following conditions.

Proposition 10 *The torsion tensor T_{ab} of a G_2-structure φ satisfies the following consistency conditions*

1.

$$\varphi^{abc}T_{bc}T_{am} - T^{bd}T^c{}_b\varphi_{mdc} - \psi_m{}^{abc}\nabla_a T_{bc} - (\operatorname{Tr}T)\,\varphi_m{}^{ab}T_{ab} = 0 \tag{3.11}$$

2.

$$\nabla_m(\operatorname{Tr}T) - \nabla_a T_m{}^a - T_{mc}\varphi^{abc}T_{ab} = 0 \tag{3.12}$$

3.

$$\begin{aligned}0 \;=\; & -\varphi_{mn}{}^c\nabla_c(\operatorname{Tr}T) + 6T_{a[m}\psi_{n]}{}^{abc}T_{bc} + 2\left(\nabla_a T_{[m|b|}\right)\varphi_{n]}{}^{ab} \\ & +2\left(\nabla_{[m}T_{|ab|}\right)\varphi_{n]}{}^{ab} + 2\psi_{mnab}T^{ca}T_{bc} + 2(\operatorname{Tr}T)\,\psi_{mn}{}^{ab}T_{ab} + \\ & +\varphi_{mna}\varphi_{bcd}T^{cd}T^{ba} - 2\varphi_{mna}\varphi_{bcd}T^{cd}T^{ab} + 2T_{[m}{}^aT_{|a|n]} - \\ & 4\varphi_{[m}{}^{ab}\nabla_{|a}T_{b|n]} - 2(\operatorname{Tr}T)\,T_{[mn]} + \varphi_{mn}{}^a\nabla_b T_a{}^b\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Proof. Let us first look at $\left(d^2\varphi\right)_{abcde} = 20\nabla_{[a}T_b{}^f\psi_{|f|cde]}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_a T_b{}^f\psi_{fcde} &= (\nabla_a T_b{}^f)\psi_{fcde} + T_b{}^f\left(\nabla_a\psi_{fcde}\right) \\ &= (\nabla_a T_b{}^f)\psi_{fcde} - 4T_b{}^fT_{[af}\varphi_{cde]} \\ &= (\nabla_a T_b{}^f)\psi_{fcde} - \frac{4}{5}T_b{}^fT_{[a|f|}\varphi_{cde]} + \frac{4}{5}T_b{}^fT_{f[a}\varphi_{cde]} + \frac{12}{5}T_b{}^fT_{[ac}\varphi_{de]f}\end{aligned}$$

Anti-symmetrizing we obtain

$$\nabla_{[a}T_b{}^f\psi_{|f|cde]} = (\nabla_{[a}T_b{}^f)\psi_{|f|cde]} + \frac{4}{5}T_{[a}{}^fT_{b|f|}\varphi_{cde]} - \frac{4}{5}T_{[a}{}^fT_{|f|b}\varphi_{cde]} - \frac{12}{5}T_{[a}{}^fT_{bc}\varphi_{de]f} \tag{3.14}$$

Using Proposition 8, we find the projections of (3.14) onto Λ^5_{14} and Λ^5_7. Considering the corresponding 2-form in Λ^2_{14} we obtain (3.13). From the Λ^5_7 component, we get

$$\begin{aligned}0 \;=\; & 2\nabla_m(\operatorname{Tr}T) - 2\nabla_a T_m{}^a - 2T_{mc}\varphi^{abc}T_{ab} + \varphi^{abc}T_{bc}T_{am} \\ & -T^{bd}T^c{}_b\varphi_{mdc} - \psi_m{}^{abc}\nabla_a T_{bc} - (\operatorname{Tr}T)\,\varphi_m{}^{ab}T_{ab}\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

However, let us now look at $\left(d^2\psi\right)_{abcdef} = 30\nabla_{[a}T_{bc}\varphi_{def]}$. This is now a 6-form, so taking the Hodge star we get 1-form and hence automatically another **7** component. From this we immediately obtain

$$\varphi^{abc}T_{bc}T_{am} - T^{bd}T^{c}{}_{b}\varphi_{mdc} - \psi_{m}{}^{abc}\nabla_a T_{bc} - \left(\mathrm{Tr}\, T\right)\varphi_{m}{}^{ab}T_{ab} = 0$$

that is, (3.11). Subtracting this condition from (3.15), we obtain (3.12). ■

The Ricci curvature of a G_2-structure manifold is determined by the torsion tensor and its derivatives. General expressions for the Ricci curvature has previously been given by Bryant in [3] and Karigiannis in [13]. Our expression differs from the expression in ([13]) due different sign convention for ψ. This also leads to a different sign for T_{ab}.

$$R_{ab} = \left(\nabla_a T_{nm} - \nabla_n T_{am}\right)\varphi^{nm}{}_{b} - T_{an}T^{n}_{b} + \mathrm{Tr}\left(T\right)T_{ab} + T_{ac}T_{nm}\psi^{nmc}{}_{b} \tag{3.16}$$

This expression is a priori not symmetric in indices a and b, as it should be. However we can consider projections of the antisymmetric part of (3.16) into the **7** and **14** representations. It turns out that the **7** component is a combination of (3.11) and (3.12), and hence vanishes. Similarly, the **14** component is proportional to (3.13), and so also vanishes. Thus indeed, given the conditions (3.11)-(3.13), the expression (3.16) for the Ricci curvature is indeed symmetric. Therefore, the conditions in Proposition 10 are very important to ensure overall consistency.

Since we are interested in particular torsion classes, which are given by torsion components, it is helpful to have conditions corresponding to (3.11)-(3.13) in terms of individual torsion components τ_1, τ_7, τ_{14} and τ_{27} .

Proposition 11 *Given the decomposition (3.6) of the full torsion tensor T_{ab} into components τ_1, τ_7, τ_{14} and τ_{27}, these components satisfy the following consistency conditions:*

1.
$$\nabla_a\left(\tau_{14}\right)^{a}{}_{m} + 2\varphi_{m}{}^{ab}\nabla_a\left(\tau_7\right)_b + 4\left(\tau_7\right)_a\left(\tau_{14}\right)^{a}{}_{m} = 0 \tag{3.17}$$

2.
$$\nabla_m\tau_1 - \frac{1}{2}\varphi_{m}{}^{ab}\nabla_a\left(\tau_7\right)_b - \frac{1}{6}\nabla_a\left(\tau_{27}\right)^{a}{}_{m} - \left(\tau_7\right)_a\left(\tau_{27}\right)^{a}{}_{m} - \left(\tau_7\right)_m\tau_1 = 0 \tag{3.18}$$

3.
$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \varphi_{mna}\nabla_b\left(\tau_{27}\right)^{ab} + 6\nabla_a\left(\tau_{27}\right)_{b[m}\varphi_{n]}{}^{ab} - 24\tau_1\left(\tau_{14}\right)_{mn} \\ &\quad -18\left(\frac{2}{3}\nabla_{[m}\left(\tau_7\right)_{n]} - \frac{1}{6}\psi_{mn}{}^{ab}\nabla_a\left(\tau_7\right)_b\right) \\ &\quad -18\left(\frac{2}{3}\left(\tau_{14}\right)_{a[m}\left(\tau_{27}\right)_{n]}{}^{a} - \frac{1}{6}\psi_{mn}{}^{ab}\left(\tau_{14}\right)^{c}{}_{a}\left(\tau_{27}\right)_{bc}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

For some of the torsion classes, these conditions simplify, which we summarize below.

Torsion class	**Condition**	**In coordinates**
W_1	$d\tau_1 = 0$	$\nabla_m \tau_1 = 0$
W_7	$d\tau_7 = 0$	$\nabla_{[m} (\tau_7)_{n]} = 0$
W_{14}	$d^* \tau_{14} = 0$	$\nabla_a (\tau_{14})^a{}_m = 0$
W_{27}	$d^* i_3 (\tau_{27}) = 0$	$\nabla_a (\tau_{27})^a{}_m = 0$ $\nabla_a (\tau_{27})_{b[m} \varphi_{n]}{}^{ab} = 0$
$W_1 \oplus W_7$	$\tau_7 = d(\log \tau_1)$ if τ_1 nowhere zero $d\tau_7 = 0$ and $\tau_1 = 0$ otherwise	
$W_1 \oplus W_{14}$	$\tau_1 = 0$ or $\tau_{14} = 0$	
$W_1 \oplus W_{27}$	$\pi_7 (d^* i_3 (\tau_{27})) = -\frac{11}{3} (d\tau_1) \lrcorner \varphi$ $\pi_{14} (d^* i_3 (\tau_{27})) = 0$	$\nabla_a (\tau_{27})^a{}_m = 6 \nabla_m \tau_1$ $\nabla_a (\tau_{27})_{b[m} \varphi_{n]}{}^{ab} + \frac{1}{6} \varphi_{mna} \nabla_b (\tau_{27})^{ab} = 0$
$W_7 \oplus W_{14}$	$d\tau_7 = 0$ $d^* \tau_{14} = 4 \tau_7 \lrcorner \tau_{14}$	$\nabla_{[m} (\tau_7)_{n]} = 0$ $\nabla_a (\tau_{14})^a{}_m + 4 (\tau_7)_a (\tau_{14})^a{}_m = 0$

To obtain these conditions, we simply use the expressions (3.17) to (3.19), and set the relevant torsion components to zero. For the class $W_1 \oplus W_7$, the characterization that either τ_7 is the gradient of $\log \tau_1$ or τ_1 is zero everywhere was given by Cleyton and Ivanov in ([4]).

4 Deformations of G_2-structures

Suppose we have a G_2-structure on M defined by the 3-form φ. In [9, 8] we considered small deformations of G_2-structures and then expanded related quantities such as the metric g, the volume form $\sqrt{\det g}$ and the 4-form ψ up to a certain order in the small parameter. We will now deduce some results about more general deformations. Suppose we have a deformation for some 3-form χ

$$\varphi \longrightarrow \tilde{\varphi} = \varphi + \chi \tag{4.1}$$

In [9, 8] it was pointed out that generically it is difficult to obtain a closed form expression for $\tilde{g}$ and $\tilde{\psi}$. One of the challenges was to obtain a closed form expression for $\det \tilde{g}$. However it turns out that there is an easy way to do this, even if obtaining the full explicit expression is still computationally challenging. Note that we will use upper indices with tilde to denote indices raised with the deformed metric $\tilde{g}$.

Lemma 12 *Given a deformation of φ as in (4.1), the related quantities $\tilde{g}$, $\tilde{\psi}$ and $\det g$ are given by:*

$$\tilde{g}_{ab} = \left(\frac{\det g}{\det \tilde{g}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} s_{ab} \tag{4.2a}$$

$$\tilde{\psi} = \left(\frac{\det g}{\det \tilde{g}}\right)^{\frac{5}{2}} \tilde{\eta} \tag{4.2b}$$

where

$$s_{ab} = g_{ab} + \frac{1}{2} \chi_{mn(a} \varphi_{b)}{}^{mn} + \frac{1}{8} \chi_{amn} \chi_{bpq} \psi^{mnpq} + \frac{1}{24} \chi_{amn} \chi_{bpq} (*\chi)^{mnpq} \tag{4.3}$$

Moreover,

$$\tilde{\psi}^{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}\tilde{c}\tilde{d}} = \tilde{g}^{am}\tilde{g}^{bn}\tilde{g}^{cp}\tilde{g}^{dq}\tilde{\psi}_{mnpq} = \left(\frac{\det g}{\det \tilde{g}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\psi^{mnpq} + *\chi^{mnpq}\right) \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. From [9] we know the expression (4.2a) with $\tilde{s}_{ab}$ as in (4.3). Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi}_{abcd} &= \tilde{*}\left(\varphi + \chi\right)_{abcd} \\ &= \frac{1}{3!}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det \tilde{g}}}\hat{\varepsilon}^{mnpqrst}\left(\varphi_{rst} + \chi_{rst}\right)\tilde{g}_{ma}\tilde{g}_{nb}\tilde{g}_{pc}\tilde{g}_{qd} \end{aligned}$$

Here $\tilde{\varepsilon}^{abcdrst}$ refers to the alternating symbol which takes values 0 and ± 1. Hence, using (4.2a), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi}_{abcd} &= \left(\frac{\det g}{\det \tilde{g}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\psi^{mnpq} + *\chi^{mnpq}\right)\tilde{g}_{ma}\tilde{g}_{nb}\tilde{g}_{pc}\tilde{g}_{qd} \qquad (4.5) \\ &= \left(\frac{\det g}{\det \tilde{g}}\right)^{\frac{5}{2}} \left(\psi^{mnpq} + *\chi^{mnpq}\right)s_{ma}s_{nb}s_{pc}s_{qd} \end{aligned}$$

which is precisely (4.2b). Incidentally, by raising indices in (4.5) using $\tilde{g}$, we obtain (4.4). ■

Another possible simplification is to use contraction formulae for $\tilde{\varphi}$ and $\tilde{\psi}$. Since $\tilde{\varphi}$ defines a G_2-structure, $\tilde{\varphi}$ and $\tilde{\psi}$ satisfy the same identities as φ and ψ in Proposition 4. So, in particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}_a{}^{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}} &= \frac{1}{4}\tilde{\varphi}_{amn}\tilde{\psi}^{\tilde{m}\tilde{n}\tilde{b}\tilde{c}} = \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\det g}{\det \tilde{g}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\varphi_{amn} + \chi_{amn}\right)\left(\psi^{mnbc} + *\chi^{mnbc}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\det g}{\det \tilde{g}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(4\varphi_a{}^{bc} + \varphi_{amn} * \chi^{mnbc} + \chi_{amn}\psi^{mnbc} + \chi_{amn} * \chi^{mnbc}\right) \qquad (4.6) \end{aligned}$$

This expression is very simple from computational point of view, since it does not involve the quantity s_{ab} (apart from the determinant factor). In our example for $\chi \in \Lambda^3_7$, this becomes

$$\tilde{\varphi}_a{}^{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}} = (1+M)^{-\frac{2}{3}}\left(\varphi_a{}^{bc} - \chi_a{}^{bc} + v^b v_m \varphi_a{}^{cm} - v^c v_m \varphi_a{}^{bm}\right) \tag{4.7}$$

We can use (4.6) together with other contraction identities to get closed expressions for the inverse metric $\tilde{g}^{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}}$ and the determinant $\det \tilde{g}$.

Proposition 13 *Given a deformation of φ as in (4.1), and the corresponding deformation of the metric (4.2a), the deformed inverse metric is given by*

$$\tilde{g}^{\tilde{a}\tilde{m}} = \left(\frac{\det g}{\det \tilde{g}}\right)\gamma^{am} \tag{4.8}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{am} &= g^{am} - \frac{1}{96} * \chi^a{}_{bcd} * \chi^m{}_{pqr}\varphi^{bcp}\varphi^{dqr} - \frac{1}{48} * \chi^{(a}{}_{bcd} * \chi^{m)}{}_{pqr}\varphi^{bcp}\chi^{dqr} \qquad (4.9) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{48} * \chi^{(a}{}_{bcd}\psi^{m)}{}_{pqr}\chi^{bcp}\chi^{dqr} - \frac{1}{96}\psi^a{}_{bcd}\psi^m{}_{pqr}\chi^{bcp}\chi^{dqr} - \frac{1}{96} * \chi^a{}_{bcd} * \chi^m{}_{pqr}\chi^{bcp}\chi^{dqr} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4}\chi^{(a}{}_{bc}\varphi^{m)bc} + \frac{1}{6} * \chi^{(a}{}_{bcd}\varphi^{m)b}{}_e\chi^{cde} + \frac{1}{12} * \chi^{(a}{}_{bcd}\psi^{m)bcd} + \frac{1}{12}g^{am}\chi^{bcd}\varphi_{bcd} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left(\frac{\det\tilde{g}}{\det g}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}=\frac{1}{7}\gamma^{am}s_{am} \tag{4.10}$$

for s_{am} *as in (4.3).*

Proof. We have the following G_2-structure contraction identity for $\tilde{\varphi}$:

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{abc}\tilde{\varphi}_m{}^{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}}=6\tilde{g}_{am}$$

Hence,

$$\tilde{\varphi}_c{}^{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}}\tilde{\varphi}_b{}^{\tilde{m}\tilde{c}}=-6\tilde{g}^{\tilde{a}\tilde{m}}$$

From (4.6), we thus have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{g}^{\tilde{a}\tilde{m}} &= -\frac{1}{6}\tilde{\varphi}_c{}^{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}}\tilde{\varphi}_b{}^{\tilde{m}\tilde{c}}\\ &= -\frac{1}{96}\left(\frac{\det g}{\det\tilde{g}}\right)\left(4\varphi_c{}^{ab}+\varphi_{cmn}*\chi^{mnab}+\chi_{cmn}\psi^{mnab}+\chi_{cmn}*\chi^{mnab}\right)\times\\ &\quad\left(4\varphi_b{}^{mc}+\varphi_{bpq}*\chi^{pqmc}+\chi_{bpq}\psi^{pqmc}+\chi_{bpq}*\chi^{pqmc}\right)\end{aligned}$$

Expanding this, and simplifying using G_2 contraction identities, we get (4.8). Now note that

$$\tilde{g}^{\tilde{a}\tilde{m}}\tilde{g}_{am}=\left(\frac{\det g}{\det\tilde{g}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{g}^{\tilde{a}\tilde{m}}s_{am}=7$$

with s_{am} given by (4.3). Hence,

$$\left(\frac{\det\tilde{g}}{\det g}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}=\frac{1}{7}\gamma^{am}s_{am}.$$

■

The simplest deformation would be one where χ lies in Λ_1^3, and is hence proportional to φ. So suppose

$$\chi=\left(f^3-1\right)\varphi. \tag{4.11}$$

This way,

$$\tilde{\varphi}=f^3\varphi.$$

From (4.3), we get

$$s_{ab}=f^9g_{ab}. \tag{4.12}$$

Therefore, from (4.2a),

$$\tilde{g}_{ab}=\left(\frac{\det g}{\det\tilde{g}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}f^9g_{ab}.$$

Taking the determinant on both sides, we find that

$$\det\tilde{g}=f^{14}\det g. \tag{4.13}$$

So in fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}_{ab} &= f^2 g_{ab} && (4.14) \\ \tilde{g}^{ab} &= f^{-2} g^{ab} && (4.15) \end{aligned}$$

and so this defines a conformation transformation. We can also then show that

$$\tilde{\psi} = f^4 \psi. \tag{4.16}$$

The next simplest case is when $\chi = v \lrcorner \psi \in \Lambda^3_7$. Then $*\chi = -v^\flat \wedge \varphi$. From this we can obtain s_{ab} and as it was shown by Karigiannis in [12],

$$s_{ab} = (1 + M)\, g_{ab} - v_a v_b \tag{4.17}$$

where $M = |v|^2$ with the norm taken using g_{ab}. Also, using the expressions for χ and $*\chi$ we can now get γ^{ab} from (4.9):

$$\gamma^{ab} = (1 + M) \left(g^{ab} + v^a v^b \right) \tag{4.18}$$

Substituting this into (4.10), we get:

$$\left(\frac{\det \tilde{g}}{\det g} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} = (1 + M)^2 \tag{4.19}$$

and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}_{ab} &= \left(\frac{\det g}{\det \tilde{g}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} s_{ab} = (1 + M)^{-\frac{2}{3}} \left((1 + M)\, g_{ab} - v_a v_b \right) && (4.20\text{a}) \\ \tilde{g}^{ab} &= \left(\frac{\det g}{\det \tilde{g}} \right) \gamma^{am} = (1 + M)^{-\frac{1}{3}} \left(g^{mu} + v^m v^u \right) && (4.20\text{b}) \end{aligned}$$

As expected, these are precisely the results obtained in [12]. However the method used here does not depend on the particular form of s_{ab} and hence theoretically is applicable in the case when $\chi \in \Lambda^3_{27}$ as well.

In fact, when $\chi \in \Lambda^3_{27}$, we can write it as

$$\chi_{abc} = h_{[a}{}^{d} \varphi_{bc]d} \tag{4.21}$$

for some traceless, symmetric h_{ab}. Then it can be shown that

$$* \chi_{abcd} = -\frac{4}{3} h^{e}{}_{[a} \psi_{|e|bcd]}. \tag{4.22}$$

Now we can substitute both χ and $*\chi$ into the expression (4.3) for s_{ab}, and after some manipulations get

$$\begin{aligned} s_{ab} = {} & g_{ab} + \frac{2}{3} h_{ab} + \frac{2}{9} h_a{}^c h_{cb} - \frac{1}{18} \operatorname{Tr}\left(h^2\right) g_{ab} - \frac{1}{18} \varphi_{amn} \varphi_{bpq} h^{mn} h^{nq} && (4.23) \\ & - \frac{1}{27} \varphi_{amn} \varphi_{bpq} h^{mr} h^{p}{}_{r} h^{nq} + \frac{1}{81} \operatorname{Tr}\left(h^3\right) g_{ab} \end{aligned}$$

This expression for s_{ab} is already rather complicated, and so even getting an explicit closed expression for $\left(\frac{\det \tilde{g}}{\det g} \right)$ becomes a very tough task, which is beyond the scope of this paper.

Now let us consider what happens to the Levi-Civita connection of the deformed metric.

Lemma 14 *Given a deformation of φ as in (4.1), and the corresponding deformation of the metric (4.2a), the components of the Levi-Civita connection $\tilde{\Gamma}_a{}^b{}_c$ corresponding to the new metric $\tilde{g}$ are given by*

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_a{}^b{}_c = \Gamma_a{}^b{}_c + \delta\Gamma_a{}^b{}_c$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\Gamma_a{}^b{}_c &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\det g}{\det \tilde{g}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\tilde{g}^{\tilde{b}\tilde{d}}\left(\nabla_c s_{ad} + \nabla_a s_{cd} - \nabla_d s_{ac}\right)\right. \\ &\quad \left. -\frac{1}{9}\left(\delta_a^b \delta_c^e + \delta_c^b \delta_a^e - \tilde{g}_{ac}\tilde{g}^{\tilde{b}\tilde{e}}\right)\tilde{g}^{\tilde{m}\tilde{n}}\nabla_e s_{mn}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

for s_{ab} given by (4.3).

Proof. As it is well known, the components of the Levi-Civita connection are given by

$$\Gamma_a{}^b{}_c = \frac{1}{2} g^{bd}\left(g_{da,c} + g_{dc,a} - g_{ac,d}\right) \tag{4.25}$$

and hence for the modified metric, we have

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_a{}^b{}_c = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}^{\tilde{b}\tilde{d}}\left(\tilde{g}_{da,c} + \tilde{g}_{dc,a} - \tilde{g}_{ac,d}\right) \tag{4.26}$$

The difference between the two connections $\delta\Gamma_a{}^b{}_c$ is then given by

$$\delta\Gamma_a{}^b{}_c = \tilde{\Gamma}_a{}^b{}_c - \Gamma_a{}^b{}_c = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{g}^{\tilde{b}\tilde{d}}\left(\nabla_c \tilde{g}_{ad} + \nabla_a \tilde{g}_{cd} - \nabla_d \tilde{g}_{ac}\right) \tag{4.27}$$

So consider $\nabla_c \tilde{g}_{ad}$. Let

$$\gamma = \left(\frac{\det \tilde{g}}{\det g}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

then, from (4.2a), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_c \tilde{g}_{ad} &= \nabla_c\left(\gamma^{-\frac{1}{3}} s_{ad}\right) = -\frac{1}{3}\gamma^{-\frac{4}{3}}\left(\nabla_c \gamma\right) s_{ad} + \gamma^{-\frac{1}{3}}\nabla_c s_{ad} \\ &= -\frac{1}{3}\gamma^{-1}\left(\nabla_c\gamma\right)\tilde{g}_{ad} + \gamma^{-\frac{1}{3}}\nabla_c s_{ad} \end{aligned}$$

Now let us look at $\nabla_c \gamma$. Note that by definition of the determinant, we get

$$\gamma^3 = \left(\frac{\det \tilde{g}}{\det g}\right)^{\frac{9}{2}} = \frac{1}{7!}\frac{1}{\det g}\hat{\varepsilon}^{mnpqrst}\hat{\varepsilon}^{abcdefg} s_{am}s_{bn}s_{cp}s_{dq}s_{er}s_{fs}s_{gt}.$$

and similarly,

$$\tilde{g}^{mu} = \frac{1}{6!}\left(\frac{\det g}{\det \tilde{g}}\right)^4 \frac{1}{\det g}\hat{\varepsilon}^{mnpqrst}\hat{\varepsilon}^{ubcdefg} s_{bn}s_{cp}s_{dq}s_{er}s_{fs}s_{gt}$$

So, in particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_c\left(\gamma^3\right) &= \nabla_c\left(\frac{1}{7!}\frac{1}{\det g}\hat{\varepsilon}\hat{\varepsilon}sssssss\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{6!}\frac{1}{\det g}\hat{\varepsilon}\hat{\varepsilon}\left(\nabla_c s\right)ssssss \\ &= \gamma^{\frac{8}{3}}\tilde{g}^{\tilde{m}\tilde{n}}\nabla_c s_{mn} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\nabla_c \gamma = \frac{1}{3}\gamma^{\frac{2}{3}}\tilde{g}^{\tilde{m}\tilde{n}}\nabla_c s_{mn} \tag{4.28}$$

where for brevity we have omitted the contracted indices. Hence,

$$\nabla_c \tilde{g}_{ad} = -\frac{1}{9}\gamma^{-\frac{1}{3}}\tilde{g}_{ad}\tilde{g}^{\tilde{m}\tilde{n}}\nabla_c s_{mn} + \gamma^{-\frac{1}{3}}\nabla_c s_{ad}. \tag{4.29}$$

Substituting (4.29) into (4.27), we find that

$$\delta\Gamma_a{}^b{}_c = \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{-\frac{1}{3}}\left(\tilde{g}^{\tilde{b}\tilde{d}}\left(\nabla_c s_{ad} + \nabla_a s_{cd} - \nabla_d s_{ac}\right) - \frac{1}{9}\left(\delta_a^b\delta_c^e + \delta_c^b\delta_a^e - \tilde{g}_{ac}\tilde{g}^{\tilde{b}\tilde{e}}\right)\tilde{g}^{\tilde{m}\tilde{n}}\nabla_e s_{mn}\right)$$

and then after substituting $\gamma^{-\frac{1}{3}} = \left(\frac{\det g}{\det \tilde{g}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ we get the result. ■

For the conformal deformation with χ given by (4.11), we find that

$$\delta\Gamma_a{}^b{}_c = \frac{1}{9}f^{-9}\partial_e f\left(\delta_c^e\delta_a^b + \delta_a^e\delta_c^b - g^{be}g_{ac}\right) \tag{4.30}$$

5 Torsion deformations

Suppose we have a deformation of φ given by (4.1). Using the results from Sect. 4, we can calculate the deformed torsion.

Lemma 15 *Given a deformation of φ as in (4.1), the full torsion $\tilde{T}$ of the new G_2-structure $\tilde{\varphi}$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{T}_a{}^{\tilde{m}} &= \left(\frac{\det g}{\det \tilde{g}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(T_a{}^m + \frac{1}{24}T_a{}^e\psi_{ebcd} * \chi^{mbcd} + \frac{1}{24}\psi^{mbcd}\nabla_a\chi_{bcd}\right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{24}\nabla_a\chi_{bcd} * \chi^{mbcd}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\delta\Gamma_a{}^e{}_b\tilde{\varphi}_e{}^{\tilde{m}\tilde{b}}\end{aligned} \tag{5.31}$$

with $\delta\Gamma_a{}^e{}_b$ given by (4.24).

Proof. Starting from (3.5) for $\tilde{\varphi}$ and $\tilde{\psi}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{T}_a{}^{\tilde{m}} &= \frac{1}{24}\left(\tilde{\nabla}_a\tilde{\varphi}_{bcd}\right)\tilde{\psi}^{\tilde{m}\tilde{b}\tilde{c}\tilde{d}} \\ &= \frac{1}{24}\left(\nabla_a\tilde{\varphi}_{bcd} - 3\delta\Gamma_a{}^e{}_b\tilde{\varphi}_{cde}\right)\tilde{\psi}^{\tilde{m}\tilde{b}\tilde{c}\tilde{d}} \\ &= \frac{1}{24}\left(\left(\frac{\det g}{\det \tilde{g}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla_a\tilde{\varphi}_{bcd} * \tilde{\varphi}^{mbcd} - 3\delta\Gamma_a{}^e{}_b\tilde{\varphi}_{cde}\tilde{\psi}^{\tilde{m}\tilde{b}\tilde{c}\tilde{d}}\right)\end{aligned}$$

We can write

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{cde}\tilde{\psi}^{\tilde{m}\tilde{b}\tilde{c}\tilde{d}} = 4\tilde{\varphi}_e{}^{\tilde{m}\tilde{b}}$$

and we can expand

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_a\tilde{\varphi}_{bcd} * \tilde{\varphi}^{mbcd} &= \left(\nabla_a\varphi_{bcd} + \nabla_a\chi_{bcd}\right)\left(\psi^{mbcd} + *\chi^{mbcd}\right) \\ &= 24T_a{}^m + T_a{}^e\psi_{ebcd} * \chi^{mbcd} \\ &\quad + \psi^{mbcd}\nabla_a\chi_{bcd} + \nabla_a\chi_{bcd} * \chi^{mbcd}\end{aligned}$$

Hence the result. ■

The torsion classes W_i were originally defined by the G_2-structure φ, so once we have deformed φ to $\tilde{\varphi}$ we will also get new torsion classes. Denote the new space by $\tilde{W}$ which splits as

$$\tilde{W} = \tilde{W}_1 \oplus \tilde{W}_7 \oplus \tilde{W}_{14} \oplus \tilde{W}_{27}. \tag{5.32}$$

The new torsion $\tilde{T}$ should now split as

$$\tilde{T}_{ab} = \tilde{\tau}_1 \tilde{g}_{ab} + \left(\tilde{\tau}_7^{\tilde{\#}} \lrcorner \tilde{\varphi}\right)_{ab} + (\tilde{\tau}_{14})_{ab} + (\tilde{\tau}_{27})_{ab} \tag{5.33}$$

Note that $\tilde{\tau}_7^{\tilde{\#}}$ refers to the vector obtained from the 1-form $\tilde{\tau}_7$ by raising indices using the deformed inverse metric $\tilde{g}^{-1}$. In general, determining these new torsion components $\tilde{\tau}_i$ is quite complicated. First we would have to lower one of the indices in (5.31) using $\tilde{g}$ and extract the different components. It is however easy to extract the the $\tilde{W}_1$-component directly from (5.31) by just contracting the indices.

Lemma 16 *The $\tilde{W}_1$-component $\tilde{\tau}_1$ of the deformed torsion $\tilde{T}$ is given by*

$$\tilde{\tau}_1 = \left(\frac{\det g}{\det \tilde{g}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\tau_1 + \frac{1}{168} T_a{}^e \psi_{ebcd} * \chi^{abcd} + \frac{1}{168}\psi^{abcd}\nabla_a \chi_{bcd} + \frac{1}{168}\nabla_a \chi_{bcd} * \chi^{abcd}\right)$$

Proof. Contracting the indices in (5.31) we get

$$\tilde{T}_a{}^{\tilde{a}} = \left(\frac{\det g}{\det \tilde{g}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(T_a{}^a + \frac{1}{24} T_a{}^e \psi_{ebcd} * \chi^{abcd} + \frac{1}{24}\psi^{abcd}\nabla_a \chi_{bcd} + \frac{1}{24}\nabla_a \chi_{bcd} * \chi^{abcd}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\delta\Gamma_a{}^e{}_b \tilde{\varphi}_e{}^{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}}$$

Note that since the Christoffel symbols are symmetric in the bottom two indices, $\delta\Gamma_a{}^e{}_b$ is also symmetric in a and b, so

$$\delta\Gamma_a{}^e{}_b \tilde{\varphi}_e{}^{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} = 0$$

Since

$$\tilde{T}_a{}^{\tilde{a}} = 7\tilde{\tau}_1,$$

we get the result. ■

Consider now what happens to $\tilde{T}_{an}$ with lowered indices.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}_{an} \quad &= \quad \tilde{T}_a{}^{\tilde{m}} \tilde{g}_{mn} = \left(\frac{\det g}{\det \tilde{g}}\right) \left(T_a{}^m + \frac{1}{24} T_a{}^e \psi_{ebcd} * \chi^{mbcd} + \frac{1}{24}\psi^{mbcd}\nabla_a \chi_{bcd}\right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{24}\nabla_a \chi_{bcd} * \chi^{mbcd}\right) s_{mn} - \frac{1}{2}\delta\Gamma_a{}^e{}_b \tilde{\varphi}_{en}{}^{\tilde{b}} \end{aligned} \tag{5.34}$$

Now using the expression for $\delta\Gamma_a{}^e{}_b$ (4.24), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\Gamma_a{}^e{}_b \tilde{\varphi}_{en}{}^{\tilde{b}} \quad &= \quad \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\det g}{\det \tilde{g}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\tilde{g}^{\tilde{e}\tilde{d}} \tilde{\varphi}_{en}{}^{\tilde{b}} \left(\nabla_b s_{ad} + \nabla_a s_{bd} - \nabla_d s_{ab}\right)\right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{9}\left(\delta_a^e \delta_b^f + \delta_b^e \delta_a^f - \tilde{g}_{ab}\tilde{g}^{\tilde{e}\tilde{f}}\right) \tilde{\varphi}_{en}{}^{\tilde{b}} \tilde{g}^{\tilde{p}\tilde{q}} \nabla_f s_{pq}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Simplifying further, we eventually get

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\Gamma_{a\ \ b}^{\ e}\tilde{\varphi}_{en}^{\ \ \ \tilde{b}} &= \left(\frac{\det g}{\det \tilde{g}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\tilde{\varphi}_{n}^{\ \ \tilde{b}\tilde{d}}\nabla_{b}s_{ad} - \frac{1}{9}\tilde{\varphi}_{an}^{\ \ \ \tilde{f}}\tilde{g}^{\tilde{p}\tilde{q}}\nabla_{f}s_{pq}\right) \\
&= \left(\frac{\det g}{\det \tilde{g}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\varphi}_{c}^{\ \ \tilde{b}\tilde{d}} \left(\delta_{n}^{c}\nabla_{b}s_{ad} - \frac{1}{9}\delta_{a}^{c}\tilde{g}_{bn}\tilde{g}^{\tilde{p}\tilde{q}}\nabla_{d}s_{pq}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\det g}{\det \tilde{g}}\right)\left(4\varphi_{c}^{\ \ bd} + \varphi_{cmn} * \chi^{mnbd} + \chi_{cmn}\psi^{mnbd} + \chi_{cmn} * \chi^{mnbd}\right) \times \\
&\quad \times \left(\delta_{n}^{c}\nabla_{b}s_{ad} - \frac{1}{9}\delta_{a}^{c}\tilde{g}_{bn}\tilde{g}^{\tilde{p}\tilde{q}}\nabla_{d}s_{pq}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, overall we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{T}_{an} &= \frac{1}{24}\left(\frac{\det g}{\det \tilde{g}}\right)\left(24T_{a}^{\ \ m} + T_{a}^{\ \ e}\psi_{ebcd} * \chi^{mbcd} + \psi^{mbcd}\nabla_{a}\chi_{bcd} + \nabla_{a}\chi_{bcd} * \chi^{mbcd}\right) \times \\
&\quad \times s_{mn} - \frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{\det g}{\det \tilde{g}}\right)\left(4\varphi_{c}^{\ \ bd} + \varphi_{cpq} * \chi^{pqbd} + \chi_{cpq}\psi^{pqbd} + \chi_{cpq} * \chi^{pqbd}\right) \times \\
&\quad \times \left(\delta_{n}^{c}\nabla_{b}s_{ad} - \frac{1}{9}\delta_{a}^{c}\tilde{g}_{bn}\tilde{g}^{\tilde{p}\tilde{q}}\nabla_{d}s_{pq}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.35}$$

In the particular case of conformal deformations we can simply plug in χ, s and $\tilde{g}$ as in (4.11), (4.12) and (4.14) into (5.34) and obtain the deformed torsion.

Proposition 17 *Let (φ, g) be a G_2-structure with torsion T. Then define $(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{g})$ to be a new G_2-structure given by a conformal transformation of (φ, g):*

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\varphi} &= f^{3}\varphi \\
\tilde{g} &= f^{2}g.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the full torsion tensor $\tilde{T}$ is given by

$$\tilde{T} = fT - df \lrcorner \varphi \tag{5.36}$$

Thus from Proposition 17 we see that a conformal transformation only affects the W_7 torsion class, while the torsion classes in W_1, W_{14} and W_{27} are simply scaled. Therefore, the only conformally invariant torsion classes are the ones that contain a W_7 component. This was previously shown in [5] and ([12]) but here we have an explicit expression for the torsion from which this conclusion follows trivially.

The expression (5.36) also shows that if the W_7 component of the original torsion is an exact form, then it is possible to remove this component by applying a particular conformal transformation. Note that this implies that the class $W_1 \oplus W_7$ is conformal to the class W_1. As we know from (!!!), if $\tau_1 \neq 0$, then

$$\tau_7 = d\left(\log \tau_1\right)$$

Hence in order to remove this torsion component, need

$$d\left(\log \tau_1\right) = \frac{1}{f}df$$

hence,

$$f = \tau_0 \tau_1$$

is a solution for a constant τ_0. The original torsion is

$$T = \tau_1 g + \frac{1}{f} df \lrcorner \varphi$$

so, under the change (5.36), the new torsion will become

$$\tilde{T} = \tau_0 \tau_1^2 g$$

However, under the transformation

$$\varphi \longrightarrow \tau_1^3 \varphi, \tag{5.37}$$

the metric changes as

$$g \longrightarrow \tau_1^2 g$$

Hence in terms of the new metric, the new torsion is

$$\tilde{T} = \tau_0 \tilde{g}$$

and so the constant τ_0 is the new W_1 torsion component. Thus the conformal transformation (5.37) reduces the class $W_1 \oplus W_7$ to W_1. Conversely, a conformal transformation of the W_1 class will result in $W_1 \oplus W_7$. Since G_2-structures in the W_1 class are sometimes called *nearly G_2* or *nearly parallel*, the G_2-structures in the strict $W_1 \oplus W_7$ class are referred to as *conformally nearly parallel.* If $W_1 = 0$, then we just have the W_7 class. In this case, we just know that τ_7 is closed. So by Poincaré Lemma, we can at least locally find a function h such that $dh = \tau_7$. By taking a conformal transformation with $f = e^h$, we can thus locally fully remove the torsion. Hence the W_7 class is sometimes called *locally conformally parallel.*

For the class $W_1 \oplus W_7$ we can also explicitly write out the Ricci curvature.

Corollary 18 *Suppose the 3-form φ defines a G_2-structure with torsion contained in the class $W_1 \oplus W_7$. The the Ricci curvature of the corresponding metric is given by*

$$R_{ab} = \left(\nabla^c (\tau_7)_c + 5 (\tau_7)^c (\tau_7)_c + 6\tau_1^2 \right) g_{ab} - 5 (\tau_7)_a (\tau_7)_b + 5 \nabla_a (\tau_7)_b \tag{5.38}$$

Proof. In the general expression for the Ricci curvature, (3.16), substitute

$$T_{ab} = \tau_1 g_{ab} + (\tau_7)^c \varphi_{cab}.$$

We then get

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ab} &= \left(\nabla^c (\tau_7)_c + 5 (\tau_7)^c (\tau_7)_c + 6\tau_1^2 \right) g_{ab} - 5 (\tau_7)_a (\tau_7)_b + 5 \nabla_b (\tau_7)_a \\ &\quad - \psi_{ab}{}^{cd} \nabla_c (\tau_7)_d + \varphi_{ab}{}^c \nabla_c \tau_1 - \tau_1 \varphi_{ab}{}^c (\tau_7)_c \end{aligned}$$

However using the fact that $d\tau_7 = 0$, and hence that $\nabla_a (\tau_7)_b$ is symmetric, and moreover that $\nabla_c \tau_1 = \tau_1 (\tau_7)_c$, we obtain (5.38). ■

6 Torsion for Λ_7 deformations

Now consider in detail the case when we have a deformation in Λ_7. Here we have

$$h_{ab} = v^c \varphi_{cab} \tag{6.39}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{bcd} &= h_{[b}{}^{e} \varphi_{cd]e} = v^e \psi_{bcde} \\ *\chi_{mnpq} &= 4 v_{[m} \varphi_{npq]} \end{aligned}$$

So we take a G_2-structure φ and deform it to

$$\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi + v^e \psi_{bcde} \tag{6.40}$$

For convenience, let

$$M = |v|^2$$

then, as we know,

$$s_{ab} = g_{ab} \left(1 + M\right) - v_a v_b$$

We also know that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\det \tilde{g}}{\det g} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &= (1+M)^{\frac{2}{3}} \\ \tilde{g}_{ab} &= (1+M)^{-\frac{2}{3}} \left(g_{ab} \left(1+M\right) - v_a v_b \right) \\ \tilde{g}^{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} &= (1+M)^{-\frac{1}{3}} \left(g^{ab} + v^a v^b \right) \\ \tilde{\varphi}_a{}^{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}} &= (1+M)^{-\frac{2}{3}} \left(\varphi_a{}^{bc} - \chi_a{}^{bc} + v^b v_m \varphi_a{}^{cm} - v^c v_m \varphi_a{}^{bm} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Note that the deformed metric defined above is always positive definite. To see this, suppose ξ^a is some vector, then

$$\tilde{g}_{ab} \xi^a \xi^b = \left(1 + |v|^2\right)^{-\frac{2}{3}} \left(|\xi|^2 + |v|^2 |\xi|^2 - (v_a \xi^a)^2 \right) \geq 0 \tag{6.41}$$

since $(v_a \xi^a)^2 \leq |v|^2 |\xi|^2$. Therefore, under such a deformation, the 3-form $\tilde{\varphi}$ is always a positive 3-form, and thus indeed defines a G_2-structure. The deformation is also invertible. Suppose we want to use a vector $\tilde{v}$ to get from $\tilde{\varphi}$ back to φ. So we have

$$\varphi = \tilde{\varphi} + \tilde{v}^e \tilde{\psi}_{bcde} \tag{6.42}$$

Then, from (6.40), we obtain

$$\tilde{v}^e \tilde{\psi}_{bcde} = -v^e \psi_{bcde}$$

Now we multiply both sides by $\tilde{\psi}^{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}\tilde{d}\tilde{a}}$. For the left hand side we obtain, using standard contraction identities

$$\tilde{v}^e \tilde{\psi}_{bcde} \ \tilde{\psi}^{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}\tilde{d}\tilde{a}} = 24 \tilde{v}^a$$

For the right hand side, we use the expression for $\tilde{\psi}^{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}\tilde{d}\tilde{a}}$ (4.4)

$$\begin{aligned}
-v^e\psi_{bcde}\ \tilde{\psi}^{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}\tilde{d}\tilde{a}} &= -\left(1+M\right)^{-\frac{2}{3}} v^e\psi_{bcde}\ \left(\psi^{bcda}+*\chi^{bcda}\right) \\
&= -\left(1+M\right)^{-\frac{2}{3}} v^e\psi_{bcde}\ \left(\psi^{bcda}+4v^{[b}\varphi^{cda]}\right) \\
&= -24\left(1+M\right)^{-\frac{2}{3}} v^a
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have the following lemma. Here we decompose ∇v in terms of representations of G_2 as

$$\nabla_a v_b = v_1 g_{ab} + v_7^c\varphi_{cab} + \left(v_{14}\right)_{ab} + \left(v_{27}\right)_{ab} \tag{6.43}$$

where $v_{14}\in\Lambda^2_{14}$ and v_{27} is traceless symmetric.

Lemma 19 *Suppose we have a deformation of a G_2-structure φ given by*

$$\varphi \longrightarrow \tilde{\varphi} = \tilde{\varphi} + v^e\psi_{bcde}$$

Then conversely, the deformation of the new G_2-structure $\tilde{\varphi}$ given by

$$\tilde{\varphi} \longrightarrow \tilde{\varphi} + \tilde{v}^e\tilde{\psi}_{bcde}$$

results in the original G_2-structure φ if and only if

$$\tilde{v}^a = -\left(1+M\right)^{-\frac{2}{3}} v^a$$

Moreover, $\tilde{v}$ has the following properties:

1. *Denote the norm squared of $\tilde{v}$ with respect to the deformed metric $\tilde{g}$ by $\tilde{M}$. Then, $\tilde{M}$ is given by*
$$\tilde{M} = \left|\tilde{v}\right|^2_{\tilde{g}} = \tilde{v}^a\tilde{v}^b\tilde{g}_{ab} = M\left(1+M\right)^{-2} \tag{6.44}$$

2. *The covariant derivative $\tilde{\nabla}$ of $\tilde{v}$ with respect to the deformed metric $\tilde{g}$ is given by*
$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\nabla}_a\tilde{v}_c &= \frac{2}{3}\left(1+M\right)^{-\frac{7}{3}} v_a v_c\left(\left(5+2M\right)v_1 - \left(v_{27}\right)_{mn}v^m v^n\right) - \left(1+M\right)^{-\frac{1}{3}}\left(v_{27}\right)_{ac} \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{3}\left(1+M\right)^{-\frac{4}{3}} g_{ac}\left(\left(3+4M\right)v_1 + \left(v_{27}\right)_{mn}v^m v^n\right) - \left(1+M\right)^{-\frac{4}{3}}\left(v_{14}\right)_{ac} \\
&\quad +\frac{1}{3}\left(1+M\right)^{-\frac{7}{3}}\left(3v^b\left(v_{27}\right)_{ba}v_c + \left(1+3M\right)v^b\left(v_{27}\right)_{bc}v_a\right) \\
&\quad +\frac{2}{3}\left(1+M\right)^{-\frac{7}{3}}\left(3\varphi_{abd}v^b\left(v_7\right)^d v_c - \varphi_{cbd}v^b\left(v_7\right)^d v_a\right) \\
&\quad +\frac{2}{3}\left(1+M\right)^{-\frac{7}{3}}\left(v_a v^b\left(v_{14}\right)_{bc} - 3v_c v^b\left(v_{14}\right)_{ba}\right) - \left(1+M\right)^{-\frac{4}{3}}\left(v_7\right)^b\varphi_{bac}
\end{aligned} \tag{6.45}$$

3. *Moreover, $\tilde{\nabla}\tilde{v}=0$ if and only if $\nabla v = 0$.*

Proof. *The calculation of $\tilde{M}$ is immediate. For the second part, we apply Lemma 14 to calculate $\tilde{\nabla}_a\tilde{v}_c$. Finally for the third part, it is trivial that $\tilde{\nabla}\tilde{v}=0$ if $\nabla v=0$. For the "only if" statement, we can invert (6.45) to get ∇v in terms of $\tilde{\nabla}\tilde{v}$, in which case in becomes clear that $\nabla v = 0$ if $\tilde{\nabla}\tilde{v}=0$.* ■

Now let us use the expression for deformed torsion (5.35) to write it down in terms of v. First, we have

$$\nabla_d s_{pq} = 2g_{pq} v_m \left(\nabla_d v^m\right) - \left(\nabla_d v_p\right) v_q - \left(\nabla_d v_q\right) v_p$$

and thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_n^c \nabla_b s_{ad} - \frac{1}{9}\delta_a^c \tilde{g}_{bn} \tilde{g}^{\tilde{p}\tilde{q}} \nabla_d s_{pq} &= \nabla_e s_{pq} \left(\delta_n^c \delta_b^e \delta_a^p \delta_d^q - \frac{1}{9}\delta_a^c \delta_d^e \tilde{g}_{bn} \tilde{g}^{\tilde{p}\tilde{q}}\right) \\
&= (1+M)^{-1} \nabla_e s_{pq} \left((1+M)\, \delta_n^c \delta_b^e \delta_a^p \delta_d^q \right. \\
&\quad \left. -\frac{1}{9}\delta_a^c \delta_d^e \left(g_{bn}(1+M) - v_b v_n\right)\left(g^{pq} + v^p v^q\right)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

So, overall, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{T}_{an} &= \frac{1}{24}(1+M)^{-\frac{4}{3}} \left(\left(24 T_a{}^m + T_a{}^e \psi_{ebcd} * \chi^{mbcd} + \psi^{mbcd} \nabla_a \chi_{bcd} \right.\right. \\
&\quad \left. + \nabla_a \chi_{bcd} * \chi^{mbcd}\right) s_{mn} - 3(1+M)^{-1} \left(\varphi_c{}^{bd} - \chi_c{}^{bd} + v^b v_m \varphi_c{}^{dm} - v^d v_m \varphi_c{}^{bm}\right) \times \\
&\quad \left.\times \nabla_e s_{pq} \left((1+M)\, \delta_n^c \delta_b^e \delta_a^p \delta_d^q - \frac{1}{9}\delta_a^c \delta_d^e \left(g_{bn}(1+M) - v_b v_n\right)\left(g^{pq} + v^p v^q\right)\right)\right). \qquad (6.46)
\end{aligned}$$

It makes sense to expand ∇v also in terms of G_2-representations:

$$\nabla_a v_b = v_1 g_{ab} + v_7^c \varphi_{cab} + \left(v_{14}\right)_{ab} + \left(v_{27}\right)_{ab} \qquad (6.47)$$

where $v_{14} \in \Lambda_{14}^2$ and v_{27} is traceless symmetric. Together with the similar expansion of T_{an} (3.6), after some manipulations, we obtain:

Theorem 20 *Given a G_2-structure φ with full torsion tensor T_{ab}, a deformation of φ which lies Λ_7^3 given by $\varphi \longrightarrow \varphi + v_e \psi_{bcd}{}^{e}$ results in a new G_2-structure $\tilde{\varphi}$ with torsion tensor $\tilde{T}_{an}$ given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{T}_{an} &= (1+M)^{-\frac{4}{3}} \left(v_1 \left(v_a v_n - (1+M)\, g_{an}\right) - \frac{4}{3}(1+M)\, v_1 \varphi_{anm} v^m \right. \\
&\quad - \left(1 + \frac{4}{3}M\right) \varphi_{anm} v_7^m - \frac{1}{3}\psi_{anmp} v^m v_7^p + \frac{5}{3} v_a \varphi_{nmp}\, v^m v_7^p + \frac{4}{3} v_n \varphi_{amp} v^m v_7^p \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{3} v_7^m v_m \varphi^p{}_{an} v_p + \frac{1}{3} v_n \left(v_7\right)_a + \frac{8}{3} v_a \left(v_7\right)_n - (1+M)\left(v_{14}\right)_{an} \\
&\quad - 2 v_m \left(v_{14}\right)^m{}_{[a} v_{n]} + \frac{1}{3}\varphi_{anm} v_{14}^{mp} v_p + \frac{1}{3}\psi_{anmp} v_q v^m v_{14}^{pq} - (1+M)\left(v_{27}\right)_{an} \\
&\quad + v_m \left(v_{27}\right)^m{}_a v_n - (1+M)\, \varphi^{mp}{}_a \left(v_{27}\right)_{pn} v_m - \frac{1}{3}\varphi_{anm} v_{27}^{mp} v_p \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{3}\psi_{anmp} v^m v_{27}^{pq} v_q + v_a \varphi_{nmp} v^m v_{27}^{pq} v_q - \frac{1}{3}\varphi_{an}{}^m v_m v_{27}^{pq} v_p v_q \right) \\
&\quad + (1+M)^{-\frac{1}{3}} \left(\tau_1 g_{an} + \tau_1 \varphi^m{}_{an} v_m + \varphi_{anm} \tau_7^m + v_a \left(\tau_7\right)_n - g_{an} \tau_7^m v_m \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \psi_{anmp} \tau_7^m v^p + \left(\tau_{14}\right)_{an} - \varphi_{nmp} v^m \left(\tau_{14}\right)^p{}_a + \left(\tau_{27}\right)_{an} + \varphi_{nmp} v^m \left(\tau_{27}\right)^p{}_a\right) \qquad (6.48)
\end{aligned}$$

From this we can also extract the individual components of $\tilde{T}_{an}$ in the representations of G_2. So first we have the component of $\tilde{T}_{an}$ in $\tilde{W}_1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\tau}_1 &= \frac{1}{7}\tilde{T}_{ab}\tilde{g}^{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} = (1+M)^{-\frac{1}{3}}\left(\tilde{T}_{ab}g^{ab} + v^a v^b \tilde{T}_{ab}\right) \\ &= (1+M)^{-\frac{2}{3}}\left(\left(1+\frac{1}{7}M\right)\tau_1 - v_1 - \frac{6}{7}(\tau_7)^a v_a + \frac{3}{7}(v_7)^a v_a + \frac{1}{7}(\tau_{27})_{ab} v^a v^b\right) \end{aligned} \tag{6.49}$$

The 7-dimensional component is given by

$$(\tilde{\tau}_7)_c = \frac{1}{6}\tilde{T}_{ab}\tilde{\varphi}^{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}}{}_c = \frac{1}{6}(1+M)^{-\frac{2}{3}}\tilde{T}_{ab}\left(\varphi^{ab}{}_c - v^m\psi^{ab}{}_{cm} + v^a v_m \varphi^{bm}{}_c - v^b v_m \varphi^{am}{}_c\right) \tag{6.50}$$

where we have used (4.7). Now using the expression for $\tilde{T}_{ab}$ (6.48), after some manipulations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\tau}_7)_c &= (\tau_7)_c - \frac{1}{6}\varphi_c{}^{ab}(\tau_7)_a v_b - \frac{1}{6}v^a(\tau_{27})_{ac} - \frac{1}{6}v^a(\tau_{14})_{ac} \\ &+ \frac{v_c}{6(1+M)}\left((\tau_{27})_{ab}v^a v^b + 6\tau_1 - 6(\tau_7)_a v^a - 8v_1 + 3(v_7)_a v^a\right) \\ &- \frac{1}{6(1+M)}\left(3(M+2)(v_7)_c + v^a(v_{27})_{ac} + \varphi_{ca}{}^b v^a (v_{27})_{bd} v^d + 3\varphi_{cab}v^a(v_7)^b\right) \end{aligned} \tag{6.51}$$

Let us now find the $\tilde{W}_{14}$ component. We have $\tilde{T}_{[an]} \in \Lambda^2$, so

$$\pi_{14}\left(\tilde{T}_{[an]}\right) = \frac{2}{3}\tilde{T}_{[an]} - \frac{1}{6}\tilde{T}_{mp}\tilde{\psi}^{\tilde{m}\tilde{p}}{}_{an} \tag{6.52}$$

The skew-symmetric part of (6.48) is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}_{[an]} &= (1+M)^{-\frac{4}{3}}\left(-\frac{4}{3}(1+M)v_1 \quad \varphi_{anm}v^m - \left(1+\frac{4}{3}M\right)\varphi_{anm}v_7^m \right. \\ &-\frac{1}{3}\psi_{anmp}v^m v_7^p + v_{[a}\varphi_{n]mp}\, v^m v_7^p + \frac{1}{3}v_7^m v_m \varphi^p{}_{an}v_p + \frac{7}{3}v_{[a}(v_7)_{n]} \\ &-(1+M)(v_{14})_{an} - 2v_m(v_{14})^m{}_{[a}v_{n]} + \frac{1}{3}\varphi_{anm}v_{14}^{mp}v_p + \frac{1}{3}\psi_{anmp}v_q v^m v_{14}^{pq} \\ &+v_m(v_{27})^m{}_{[a}v_{n]} - (1+M)\varphi^{mp}{}_{[a}(v_{27})_{n]p}v_m - \frac{1}{3}\varphi_{anm}v_{27}^{mp}v_p \\ &\left. +\frac{1}{3}\psi_{anmp}v^m v_{27}^{pq}v_q + v_{[a}\varphi_{n]mp}v^m v_{27}^{pq}v_q - \frac{1}{3}\varphi_{an}{}^m v_m v_{27}^{pq}v_p v_q\right) \\ &+(1+M)^{-\frac{1}{3}}\left(\tau_1\varphi^m{}_{an}v_m + \varphi_{anm}\tau_7^m + v_{[a}(\tau_7)_{n]} + \psi_{anmp}\tau_7^m v^p\right. \\ &\left. +(\tau_{14})_{an} + \varphi_{mp[a}v^m(\tau_{14})^p{}_{n]} - \varphi_{mp[a}v^m(\tau_{27})^p{}_{n]}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{6.53}$$

Now note that

$$\tilde{\psi}^{\tilde{m}\tilde{p}}{}_{an} = \tilde{\psi}^{\tilde{m}\tilde{p}\tilde{q}\tilde{r}}\tilde{g}_{qa}\tilde{g}_{nr} = (1+M)^{-2}\left(\psi^{mpqr} + 4v^{[m}\varphi^{pqr]}\right)\left(g_{aq}(1+M) - v_a v_q\right)\left(g_{nr}(1+M) - v_n v_r\right)$$

Hence the 14-dimensional component is

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\tilde{\tau}_{14}\right)_{an} = {} & (1+M)^{-\frac{4}{3}}\left(\frac{10}{3}\left(v_7\right)_{[a}v_{n]} + \frac{4}{3}v_{[a}\varphi^{mp}{}_{n]}v_m\left(v_7\right)_p - \left(\frac{5}{6}+\frac{1}{2}M\right)\psi^{mp}{}_{an}v_m\left(v_7\right)_p\right. \\
& +\frac{1}{3}\left(v_7\right)_m v^m v_p\varphi^p{}_{an} - \frac{1}{3}M\left(v_7\right)_m\varphi^m{}_{an} - (1+M)\left(v_{14}\right)_{an} - 2v_m\left(v_{14}\right)^m{}_{[a}v_{n]} \\
& +\frac{1}{3}\varphi^m{}_{an}v_p\left(v_{14}\right)^p{}_m + \frac{1}{3}\psi^{mp}{}_{an}v_m\left(v_{14}\right)_{pq}v^q - \frac{1}{3}\varphi^m{}_{an}v_m\left(v_{27}\right)_{pq}v^p v^q \\
& +(M+1)\varphi^{mp}{}_{[a}\left(v_{27}\right)_{n]p}v_m + \frac{1}{6}(M-1)\varphi^m{}_{an}\left(v_{27}\right)^p{}_m v_p \\
& \left.+\frac{2}{3}v_m\left(v_{27}\right)^m{}_{[a}v_{n]} - \frac{4}{3}\varphi^{mp}{}_{[a}v_{n]}v_m\left(v_{27}\right)_{pq}v^q + \frac{1}{3}\psi^{mp}{}_{an}v_m\left(v_{27}\right)_{pq}v^q\right) \\
& +(1+M)^{-\frac{1}{3}}\left(-\frac{1}{6}M\varphi^m{}_{an}\left(\tau_7\right)_m + \frac{1}{6}\psi^{mp}{}_{an}\left(\tau_7\right)_m v_p - \frac{1}{3}\varphi^{mp}{}_{[a}v_{n]}\left(\tau_7\right)_m v_p + \frac{2}{3}v_{[a}\left(\tau_7\right)_{n]}\right. \\
& +\frac{1}{6}\varphi^m{}_{an}v_m v_p\left(\tau_7\right)^p + \left(\tau_{14}\right)_{an} + \frac{1}{6}\psi^{mp}{}_{an}\left(\tau_{14}\right)^q{}_m v_p v_q - \frac{1}{3}\varphi^m{}_{an}v_p\left(\tau_{14}\right)^p{}_m \\
& \left.-\varphi^{mp}{}_{[a}\left(\tau_{27}\right)_{n]p}v_m + \frac{1}{6}\varphi^m{}_{an}\left(\tau_{27}\right)^p{}_m v_p + \frac{1}{6}\psi^{mp}{}_{an}\left(\tau_{27}\right)^q{}_m v_p v_q\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{6.54}$$

Finally, the component in $\tilde{W}_{27}$ is now given by

$$\left(\tilde{\tau}_{27}\right)_{an} = \tilde{T}_{(an)} - \tau_1\tilde{g}_{an}$$

where $\tilde{T}_{(an)}$ is the symmetric part of (6.48):

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{T}_{(an)} = {} & (1+M)^{-\frac{4}{3}}\left(v_1\left(v_a v_n - (1+M)g_{an}\right) + 3v_{(a}\varphi_{n)mp}v^m v_7^p\right. \\
& +3v_{(a}\left(v_7\right)_{n)} - (1+M)\left(v_{27}\right)_{an} + v_m\left(v_{27}\right)^m{}_{(a}v_{n)} \\
& \left.-(1+M)\varphi^{mp}{}_{(a}\left(v_{27}\right)_{n)p}v_m + v_{(a}\varphi_{n)mp}v^m v_{27}^{pq}v_q\right) \\
& +(1+M)^{-\frac{1}{3}}\left(\tau_1 g_{an} + v_{(a}\left(\tau_7\right)_{n)} - g_{an}\tau_7^m v_m\right. \\
& \left.-\varphi_{mp(a}v^m\left(\tau_{14}\right)^p{}_{n)} + \left(\tau_{27}\right)_{an} + \varphi_{mp(a}v^m\left(\tau_{27}\right)^p{}_{n)}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{6.55}$$

and

$$\tilde{\tau}_1\tilde{g}_{an} = (1+M)^{-\frac{4}{3}}\left((1+M)g_{an} - v_a v_n\right)\left(\left(1+\frac{1}{7}M\right)\tau_1 - v_1 - \frac{6}{7}\tau_7^m v_m + \frac{3}{7}v_7^m v_m - \frac{1}{7}\tau_{27}^{mp}v_m v_p\right)$$

Thus overall, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\tilde{\tau}_{27}\right)_{an} = {} & (1+M)^{-\frac{4}{3}}\left(-\frac{3}{7}\left((1+M)g_{an} - v_a v_n\right)v_7^m v_m + 3v_{(a}\varphi_{n)mp}v^m v_7^p\right. \\
& +3v_{(a}\left(v_7\right)_{n)} - (1+M)\left(v_{27}\right)_{an} + v_m\left(v_{27}\right)^m{}_{(a}v_{n)} - (1+M)\varphi^{mp}{}_{(a}\left(v_{27}\right)_{n)p}v_m \\
& \left.+v_{(a}\varphi_{n)mp}v^m v_{27}^{pq}v_q + \left(1+\frac{1}{7}M\right)\tau_1 v_a v_n - \frac{6}{7}\tau_7^m v_m v_a v_n + \frac{1}{7}\tau_{27}^{mp}v_m v_p v_a v_n\right) \\
& +(1+M)^{-\frac{1}{3}}\left(-\frac{1}{7}M\tau_1 g_{an} + v_{(a}\left(\tau_7\right)_{n)} - \frac{1}{7}g_{an}\tau_7^m v_m\right. \\
& \left.-\varphi_{mp(a}v^m\left(\tau_{14}\right)^p{}_{n)} + \left(\tau_{27}\right)_{an} + \varphi_{mp(a}v^m\left(\tau_{27}\right)^p{}_{n)} - \frac{1}{7}\tau_{27}^{mp}v_m v_p g_{an}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{6.56}$$

The expressions (6.49), (6.51), (6.54) and (6.56) give us the components of the new torsion $\tilde{T}$ in $\tilde{W}_1$, $\tilde{W}_7$, $\tilde{W}_{14}$ and $\tilde{W}_{27}$, respectively. As we can see these expressions are quite complicated, so for a generic deformation vector v, in general we would obtain

Theorem 21 *Given a G_2-structure φ with full torsion tensor T_{ab}, a deformation of φ which lies Λ^3_7 given by $\varphi \longrightarrow \varphi + v_e \psi_{bcd}{}^{e}$ results in a new G_2-structure $\tilde{\varphi}$ with a torsion tensor $\tilde{T}_{an}$ if only if the components v_1,v_7, v_{14} and v_{27} of ∇v satisfy the following equations*

$$\begin{aligned}
v_1 &= \tau_1 - \frac{3}{7}(\tau_7)_a v^a - \frac{1}{7}\frac{(7+3M)}{(1+M)^{\frac{1}{3}}}\tilde{\tau}_1 - \frac{3}{7}(\tilde{\tau}_7)_a v^a + \frac{1}{14}(1+M)^{\frac{1}{3}}(\tilde{\tau}_{27})_{ab} v^a v^b && \text{(6.57a)}\\
(v_7)^c &= (\tau_7)^c - \frac{1}{3}\tau_1 v^c + \frac{1}{3}\varphi^c{}_{ab}(\tau_7)^a v^b - \frac{1}{6}(\tau_{14})_a{}^c v^a - \frac{1}{3}(\tau_{27})_a{}^c v^a + \frac{4}{3}\frac{\tilde{\tau}_1}{(1+M)^{\frac{1}{3}}} v^c && \text{(6.57b)}\\
&\quad - (\tilde{\tau}_7)^c - \frac{1}{2}\varphi^c{}_{ab}(\tilde{\tau}_7)^a v^b + \frac{1}{6}(1+M)^{\frac{1}{3}}(\tilde{\tau}_{27})_a{}^c v^a\\
(v_{14})_{ab} &= \frac{1}{(M+9)}\Bigg(\frac{4}{3}(M-27)(\tau_7)_{[a}v_{b]} - \frac{1}{3}(M-27)\psi^{mn}{}_{ab}(\tau_7)_m v_n - 4M\varphi^m{}_{ab}(\tau_7)_m && \text{(6.57c)}\\
&\quad +4(\tau_7)^m v_m \varphi^n{}_{ab} v_n - 24\varphi^{mn}{}_{[a}v_{b]}(\tau_7)_m v_n + \frac{1}{2}(M+2)(M+9)(\tau_{14})_{ab}\\
&\quad +\frac{1}{2}(M+9)\varphi_a{}^{mn}\varphi_b{}^{pq} v_m v_p (\tau_{14})_{nq} + (M-7) v_m (\tau_{14})^m{}_{[a} v_{b]}\\
&\quad +8\varphi^{mn}{}_{[a}v_{b]} v_n v_p (\tau_{14})^p{}_m - \frac{4}{3}M v_m (\tau_{14})^m{}_n \varphi^n{}_{ab} + 4\psi^{mn}{}_{ab} v_p (\tilde{\tau}_{14})^p{}_m v_n\\
&\quad +16 v_m (\tau_{27})^m{}_{[a} v_{b]} - \frac{4}{3} v_m \varphi^m{}_{ab}(\tau_{27})_{np} v^n v^p + \frac{4}{3}M v_m (\tau_{27})^m{}_n \varphi^n{}_{ab} - 4\psi^{mn}{}_{ab} v_p (\tau_{27})^p{}_m v_n\\
&\quad +8\varphi^{mn}{}_{[a}v_{b]} v_n v_p (\tau_{27})^p{}_m + \left(\frac{1}{6}(M+17)(1+M)^{\frac{1}{3}}(\tilde{\tau}_{27})_{mn} v^m v^n - 4(\tilde{\tau}_7)_m v^m\right) v_p \varphi^p{}_{ab}\\
&\quad +24\varphi^{mn}{}_{[a}v_{b]}(\tilde{\tau}_7)_m v_n - 2(M-15)(\tilde{\tau}_7)_{[a}v_{b]} + \frac{1}{2}(M-15)\psi^{mn}{}_{ab}(\tilde{\tau}_7)_m v_n\\
&\quad +4M\varphi^m{}_{ab}(\tilde{\tau}_7)_m - (1+M)^{\frac{1}{3}}(M+9)\left((\tilde{\tau}_{14})_{ab} + v^m \varphi_{mn[a}(\tilde{\tau}_{27})^n{}_{b]}\right)\\
&\quad +16(1+M)^{-\frac{2}{3}} v_m (\tilde{\tau}_{14})^m{}_{[a} v_{b]} + 8(1+M)^{-\frac{2}{3}}\varphi^{mn}{}_{[a}v_{b]} v_n v_p (\tilde{\tau}_{14})^p{}_m\\
&\quad +(M-3)(1+M)^{-\frac{2}{3}} v_m (\tilde{\tau}_{14})^m{}_n \varphi^n{}_{ab} - 4(1+M)^{-\frac{2}{3}}\psi^{mn}{}_{ab} v_p (\tilde{\tau}_{14})^p{}_m v_n\\
&\quad -8(1+M)^{\frac{1}{3}}\varphi^{mn}{}_{[a}v_{b]} v_n v_p (\tilde{\tau}_{27})^p{}_m + 8(1+M)^{\frac{1}{3}} v_m (\tilde{\tau}_{27})^m{}_{[a} v_{b]}\\
&\quad +\frac{1}{6}(7M-9)(1+M)^{\frac{1}{3}} v_m (\tilde{\tau}_{27})^m{}_n \varphi^n{}_{ab} - 4(1+M)^{\frac{1}{3}}\psi^{mn}{}_{ab} v_p (\tilde{\tau}_{27})^p{}_m v_n\Bigg)\\
(v_{27})_{ab} &= (\tau_{27})_{ab} + 4(\tau_7)_{(a}v_{b)} + \left(4(1+M)^{-\frac{1}{3}}\tilde{\tau}_1 - \frac{1}{2}(1+M)^{-\frac{2}{3}}(\tilde{\tau}_{27})_{mn} v^m v^n\right) v_a v_b && \text{(6.57d)}\\
&\quad -\frac{1}{7}\left(4(\tau_7)_m v^m - 3(\tilde{\tau}_7)_m v^m - 4M(1+M)^{-\frac{1}{3}}\tilde{\tau}_1 - \frac{1}{2}(1+M)^{-\frac{1}{3}}(\tilde{\tau}_{27})_{mn} v^m v^n\right) g_{ab}\\
&\quad -3\tilde{\tau}_{7(a}v_{b)} - \varphi^{mn}{}_{(a}(\tilde{\tau}_{14})_{b)n} v_m - \frac{1}{2}(1+M)^{-\frac{2}{3}}\varphi_a{}^{mn}\varphi_b{}^{pq}(\tilde{\tau}_{27})_{mp} v_n v_q\\
&\quad -(1+M)^{-\frac{2}{3}}\left(\frac{1}{2}(2+M)(\tilde{\tau}_{27})_{ab} + v_m(\tilde{\tau}_{27})^m{}_{(a}v_{b)} + v^m \varphi_{mn(a}(\tilde{\tau}_{27})^n{}_{b)}\right.\\
&\quad \left. +\varphi^{mn}{}_{(a}v_{b)} v_n v_p (\tilde{\tau}_{27})^p{}_m\right)
\end{aligned}$$

and moreover, the following necessary condition is satisfied:

$$d\left((v_7)\lrcorner\varphi + v_{14}\right) = 0. \tag{6.58}$$

Proof. In order to obtain the equations which the components v_1, v_7, v_{14} and v_{27} of ∇v must satisfy, we have to invert the expressions for $\tilde{\tau}_1$, $\tilde{\tau}_7$, $\tilde{\tau}_{14}$ and $\tilde{\tau}_{27}$ that are given by (6.49), (6.50), (6.54) and (6.56), respectively. So we solve for v_1, $(v_7)^c$, $(v_{14})_{ab}$ and $(v_{27})_{ab}$ in terms of the original torsion components τ_1, τ_7, τ_{14} and τ_{27} and the new torsion components $\tilde{\tau}_1$, $\tilde{\tau}_7$, $\tilde{\tau}_{14}$ and $\tilde{\tau}_{27}$. Therefore pointwise we have the same number of variables as equations, so generically we should be able to solve it.

For convenience, let us denote the left hand sides of equations (6.49), (6.50), (6.54) and (6.56), by $\hat{\tau}_1$,$\hat{\tau}_7$, $\hat{\tau}_{14}$ and $\hat{\tau}_{27}$, respectively. Hence these equations can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\tau}_1 &= \hat{\tau}_1 \\
\tilde{\tau}_7 &= \hat{\tau}_7 \\
\tilde{\tau}_{14} &= \hat{\tau}_{14} \\
\tilde{\tau}_{27} &= \hat{\tau}_{27}
\end{aligned}$$

Let us first look at the $\tilde{\tau}_1$ equation. Note that the expression for $\tilde{\tau}_1$ contains the scalars v_1 and $(v_7)^a v_a$. So in order to find v_1, we would also need to find $(v_7)^a v_a$. We can get another equation that has $(v_7)^a v_a$ by constructing the scalar $(\tilde{\tau}_7)^c v_c$. However that now also has the scalar $(v_{27})^{ab} v_a v_b$. So we would need another equation - this time from by $(\tilde{\tau}_{27})_{ab} v^a v^b$. Now we can solve the system

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\tau}_1 &= \hat{\tau}_1 = (1+M)^{-\frac{2}{3}}\left(-v_1 + \frac{3}{7}(v_7)^a v_a + \left(1+\frac{1}{7}M\right)\tau_1 - \frac{6}{7}(\tau_7)^a v_a \right. \qquad \text{(6.59a)}\\
&\quad \left. +\frac{1}{7}(\tau_{27})^{ab} v_a v_b\right) \\
(\tilde{\tau}_7)^c v_c &= (\hat{\tau}_7)^c v_c = (1+M)^{-1}\left(-\frac{4}{3}Mv_1 - (v_7)^a v_a - \frac{1}{6}(v_{27})^{ab} v_a v_b + M\tau_1 \right. \qquad \text{(6.59b)}\\
&\quad \left. + (\tau_7)^a v_a - \frac{1}{6}(\tau_{27})^{ab} v_a v_b\right) \\
(\tilde{\tau}_{27})_{ab} v^a v^b &= (\hat{\tau}_{27})_{ab} v^a v^b = (1+M)^{-\frac{4}{3}}\left(\frac{18}{7}M(v_7)^a v_a - (v_{27})^{ab} v_a v_b + \frac{6}{7}M^2\tau_1 \right. \qquad \text{(6.59c)}\\
&\quad \left. +\frac{6}{7}M(\tau_7)^a v_a + \left(1+\frac{6}{7}M\right)(\tau_{27})^{ab} v_a v_b\right)
\end{aligned}$$

We have three equations, and the three variables, v_1, $(v_7)^a v_a$ and $(v_{27})_{ab} v^a v^b$, which we treat as being independent. The determinant of this system is proportional to $(M+1)$, but $M = |v|^2 > 0$, so we always have a solution. Solving, we get the solution (6.57a) for v_1 and also solutions for $(v_7)^a v_a$ and $(v_{27})_{ab} v^a v^b$.

Note that we could have also considered $(\tilde{\tau}_{27})_{ab} g^{ab}$. However, since $\tilde{\tau}_{27}$ is traceless with respect to $\tilde{g}^{ab} = (1+M)^{-\frac{2}{3}}\left(g^{ab} + v^a v^b\right)$,

$$(\tilde{\tau}_{27})_{ab} g^{ab} = -(\tilde{\tau}_{27})_{ab} v^a v^b$$

so we would get no new independent equation.

Next, we look at the $\tilde{\tau}_7$ equation. We now have expressions for $(v_7)^a v_a$ and $(v_{27})^{ab} v_a v_b$, so we can replace any instances of these scalars by the solutions of the above scalar equations. Our remaining variables are now $(v_7)^c, (v_{14})_a{}^c v^a, (v_{27})_a{}^c v^a$, $\varphi^c{}_{ab} (v_7)^a v^b, \varphi^c{}_{ab} (v_{14})^{eb} v^a v_e$ and $\varphi^c{}_{ab} (v_{27})^{be} v^a v_e$. To solve for these variables, we construct six equations

$$(\tilde{\tau}_7)_a = (\hat{\tau})_a \tag{6.60a}$$

$$(\tilde{\tau}_{14})_{ab} v^a = (\hat{\tau}_{14})_{ab} v^a \tag{6.60b}$$

$$(\tilde{\tau}_{27})_{ab} v^a = (\hat{\tau}_{27})_{ab} v^a \tag{6.60c}$$

$$\varphi^a{}_{bc} (\tilde{\tau}_7)^b v^c = \varphi^a{}_{bc} (\hat{\tau}_7)^b v^c \tag{6.60d}$$

$$\varphi^a{}_{bc} (\tilde{\tau}_{14})^b{}_d v^d v^c = \varphi^a{}_{bc} (\hat{\tau}_{14})^b{}_d v^d v^c \tag{6.60e}$$

$$\varphi^a{}_{bc} (\tilde{\tau}_{27})^b{}_d v^d v^c = \varphi^a{}_{bc} (\hat{\tau}_{27})^b{}_d v^d v^c \tag{6.60f}$$

The left hand side of each of these equations is now some function of v, τ_1, τ_7, τ_{14} and τ_{27} constructed from the expressions for $\tilde{\tau}_1$, $\tilde{\tau}_7$, $\tilde{\tau}_{14}$ and $\tilde{\tau}_{27}$ and with any instances of v_1,$(v_7)^a v_a$ and $(v_{27})^{ab} v_a v_b$ replaced by the solutions of equations (6.59). It turns out that we do not get any new variables, and so we get six equations for six variables. The determinant of this system is positive, so we can solve this, and in particular, get the solution for $(v_7)^c$ (6.57b). We also get solutions for the other vectors constructed above.

Now we can look at the last two equation - $(\tilde{\tau}_{14})_{ab} = 0$ and $(\tilde{\tau}_{27})_{ab} = 0$. We now have solutions for scalars and vectors, so we can substitute them into these equations. Then, the variables in the first equation are skew-symmetric quantities, and in the second equation we have symmetric quantities.

In the $\tilde{\tau}_{14}$ equation the quantities are $(v_{14})_{ab}$ and $\varphi^{cd}{}_{[a} (v_{27})_{b]d} v_c$, while in the $\tilde{\tau}_{27}$ equation we have $(v_{27})_{ab}$ and $\varphi^{cd}{}_{(a} (v_{27})_{b)d} v_c$. Hence we can construct quantities $\varphi^{cd}{}_{[a} (\tilde{\tau}_{27})_{b]d} v_c$ and $\varphi^{cd}{}_{(a} (\tilde{\tau}_{27})_{b)d} v_c$ which give us one extra equation for both skew-symmetric and symmetric quantities. For the skew-symmetric equations we get no new variables, thus our equations are

$$(\tilde{\tau}_{14})_{ab} = (\hat{\tau}_{14})_{ab} \tag{6.61a}$$

$$\varphi^{cd}{}_{[a} (\tilde{\tau}_{27})_{b]d} v_c = \varphi^{cd}{}_{[a} (\hat{\tau}_{27})_{b]d} v_c \tag{6.61b}$$

Here we solve for $(v_{14})_{ab}$ and $\varphi^{cd}{}_{[a} (v_{27})_{b]d} v_c$, and immediately get the solution (6.57c). It can be checked that this expression does indeed give a 2-form lying in Λ^2_{14}.

Going back to the symmetric equations, from $\varphi^{cd}{}_{(a} (\tilde{\tau}_{27})_{b)d} v_c$ we get a new symmetric variable $\varphi_a{}^{cd} \varphi_b{}^{ef} v_c v_e (v_{27})_{df}$. We then construct the quantity $\varphi_a{}^{cd} \varphi_b{}^{ef} v_c v_e (\tilde{\tau}_{27})_{df}$ and get no new variables. Therefore, the symmetric equations are

$$(\tilde{\tau}_{27})_{ab} = (\hat{\tau}_{27})_{ab} \tag{6.62a}$$

$$\varphi^{cd}{}_{(a} (\tilde{\tau}_{27})_{b)d} v_c = \varphi^{cd}{}_{(a} (\hat{\tau}_{27})_{b)d} v_c \tag{6.62b}$$

$$\varphi_a{}^{cd} \varphi_b{}^{ef} v_c v_e (\tilde{\tau}_{27})_{df} = \varphi_a{}^{cd} \varphi_b{}^{ef} v_c v_e (\hat{\tau}_{27})_{df} \tag{6.62c}$$

where we solve for $(v_{27})_{ab}$, $\varphi^{cd}{}_{(a} (v_{27})_{b)d} v_c$ and $\varphi_a{}^{cd} \varphi_b{}^{ef} v_c v_e (v_{27})_{df}$. We have three equations with three variable, and the determinant is again positive, so we solve it and get the solution (6.57d) for $(v_{27})_{ab}$. Note that it is always traceless, hence indeed always corresponds to the component in the 27-dimensional representation.

To get the necessary condition (6.58), first note that

$$dv^\flat = 2\,(v_7)\lrcorner\varphi + 2v_{14}. \tag{6.63}$$

Therefore, we must have

$$d^2 v^\flat = 0,$$

which gives us (6.58). So far, we have only considered the algebraic constraints on the components of ∇v, so the differential condition (6.58) is not automatically satisfied in general, and must be imposed separately. ■

Note that the condition (6.58) in Theorem 21 involves second derivatives of v - in particular, derivatives of the **7** and the **14** components of ∇v, that is, v_7 and v_{14}. However, from the equations (6.57b) and (6.57c), v_7 and v_{14} are expressed in terms of v and the torsion components. However, derivatives of v can be reduced again to expressions just involving v and the torsion components, using all of the equations (6.57a) to (6.57d). So overall, (6.58) gives a relationship between v, the torsion components and the derivatives of the torsion components. Moreover, we can also apply the conditions on the derivatives of torsion components from Proposition 11 in order to relate some of the torsion derivatives to the torsion components themselves. In the general case, the resulting expressions are extremely long, and not very helpful, so we will consider individual torsion classes in order to gain more insight.

The simplest case is when the original torsion vanishes.

Corollary 22 *Suppose the* 3*-form* φ *defines a torsion-free* G_2 *-structure, then a deformation of* φ *which lies in* Λ^3_7 *and is given by* $\varphi \longrightarrow \varphi + v^e\psi_{bcde}$ *results in a new torsion-free* G_2*-structure* $\tilde{\varphi}$ *if and only if*

$$\nabla v = 0$$

Proof. We get this immediately by setting $\tau_1 = \tau_7 = \tau_{14} = \tau_{27} = 0$ in (6.57a) to (6.57d) in Theorem 21. The condition (6.58) is then automatically satisfied. ■

This is equivalent to saying that $d\chi = 0$ and $d * \chi = 0$ for $\chi = v^e\psi_{bcde}$. This is however exactly the same condition as the one for an infinitesimal deformation.

Theorem 23 *Suppose* (φ, g) *is a* G_2*-structure on a closed, compact manifold* M*. Consider a deformation of the* G_2*-structure* φ *given by*

$$\varphi \longrightarrow \varphi + v^e\psi_{bcde} \tag{6.64}$$

If the torsion T *lies in the class* $W_1 \oplus W_7$*, then this deformation results in a torsion-free* G_2*-structure if and only if* $T = 0$ *and* $\nabla v = 0$*.*

Proof. If $T = 0$, from Corollary 22, we know that the deformation (6.64) results in a torsion-free G_2-structure if and only if $\nabla v = 0$. So assume now $T \neq 0$.

Now let us assume that $T \in W_1 \subset W_1 \oplus W_7$ and suppose the deformation (6.64) results in $\tilde{T} = 0$. Thus here we have $\tau_7 = \tau_{14} = \tau_{27} = 0$ and $\tilde{\tau}_1 = \tilde{\tau}_7 = \tilde{\tau}_{14} = \tilde{\tau}_{27} = 0$. Then from Theorem 21, we have

$$\nabla_a v_b = \tau_1 g_{ab} - \frac{1}{3}\tau_1 v^c \varphi_{cab} \tag{6.65}$$

and in particular,

$$dv^\flat = -\frac{2}{3}\tau_1 v\lrcorner\varphi.$$

Now, the consistency condition $d^2 v^\flat = 0$ is equivalent to either $\tau_1 = 0$ or

$$d\left(v \lrcorner \varphi\right) = 0.$$

Using (6.65) and the fact that

$$\nabla \varphi = \tau_1 \psi,$$

we find that

$$\pi_1\left(d\left(v \lrcorner \varphi\right)\right) = 3\tau_1 \varphi = 0$$

So we must have $\tau_1 = 0$, which gives a contradiction. Hence there are no deformations from torsion class W_1 to W_0.

Next we assume that $T \in W_7 \subset W_1 \oplus W_7$, so that only τ_7 is non-vanishing. In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_a v_b &= (M+9)^{-1}\Big(-g_{ab}\left(\tau_7\right)_c v^c + 3\left(1+M\right) v_b \left(\tau_7\right)_a + \left(33+M\right) v_a \left(\tau_7\right)_b \\ &\quad -3\varphi^c{}_{ab}\left(\tau_7\right)_c \left(M-3\right) + 4\left(\tau_7\right)_c v^c \varphi_{abd} v^d - 24\varphi^c{}_{d[a}\left(\tau_7\right)_c v^d v_{b]} \\ &\quad +12\psi^c{}_{dab}\left(\tau_7\right)_c v^d\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{6.66}$$

and correspondingly we can also get $dv^\flat$ from this. As before, we consider $d\left(dv^\flat\right)$ and the projections of it on to Λ^3_1, Λ^3_7 and Λ^3_{27}. Let ξ_1 be the scalar corresponding to the Λ^3_1 projection, ξ_7 - the vector corresponding to the Λ^3_7 projection and ξ_{27} - the symmetric 2-tensor corresponding to the Λ^3_{27} component. As before, we can obtain scalars $\left(\xi_7\right)_a v^a$ and $\left(\xi_{27}\right)_{ab} v^a v^b$. Hence we get three scalar equations

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 16\left(M-15\right)\left(\left(\tau_7\right)_a v^a\right)^2 - 6\left(3M^2 - 34M + 27\right)\left(\tau_7\right)^a\left(\tau_7\right)_a \\ &\quad -\left(M+9\right)^2 \nabla^a\left(\tau_7\right)_a \end{aligned} \tag{6.67}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{4\left(M-3\right)\left(\left(\tau_7\right)_a v^a\right)^2}{M+9} - \frac{9M\left(M+3\right)\left(\tau_7\right)^a\left(\tau_7\right)_a}{M+9} + \left(\nabla_a\left(\tau_7\right)_b\right) v^a v^b \\ &\quad -M\nabla^a\left(\tau_7\right)_a \end{aligned} \tag{6.68}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{6\left(1+M\right)\left(M-39\right)\left(\left(\tau_7\right)_a v^a\right)^2}{M+9} - \frac{4M\left(5M-3\right)\left(M-3\right)\left(\tau_7\right)^a\left(\tau_7\right)_a}{M+9} \\ &\quad +2\left(M-3\right)\left(\nabla_a\left(\tau_7\right)_b\right) v^a v^b - 3M\left(1+M\right)\nabla^a\left(\tau_7\right)_a \end{aligned} \tag{6.69}$$

We can solve these equations to get $\nabla^a\left(\tau_7\right)_a$, $\left(\nabla_a\left(\tau_7\right)_b\right) v^a v^b$ and $\left(\tau_7\right)^a\left(\tau_7\right)_a = \left|\tau_7\right|^2$ in terms of $\left(\left(\tau_7\right)_a v^a\right)^2 = \langle \tau_7, v\rangle^2$. So in particular, we get

$$\left|\tau_7\right|^2 = \frac{3\langle \tau_7, v\rangle^2\left(3M^2 - 10M + 51\right)}{\left(7M^2 - 66M - 9\right)M} \tag{6.70}$$

Further, from $\xi_7^d = 0$, $\varphi_{abc} v^b \xi_7^c = 0$, $\left(\xi_{27}\right)_{mn} v^n = 0$ and $\varphi_{abc}\left(\xi_{27}\right)^b{}_n v^n v^c = 0$, we actually find that

$$v = \frac{M}{\langle \tau_7, v\rangle}\tau_7 \tag{6.71}$$

and after contracting with τ_7, we get

$$\left|\tau_7\right|^2 = \frac{\langle \tau_7, v\rangle^2}{M} \tag{6.72}$$

Comparing (6.70) and (6.72), we get

$$\langle \tau_7, v\rangle^2 (M+9)^2 = 0$$

Hence $\langle \tau_7, v\rangle = 0$ and so must have $\tau_7 = 0$. Therefore, there are no deformations from W_7 to W_0.

Finally, suppose T_{ab} lies in the strict class $W_1 \oplus W_7$, so that the W_1 component of the torsion is τ_1 and the W_7 component is τ_7. In this case, from Theorem 21, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_a v_b \;=\;& \left(\tau_1 - (\tau_7)_c v^c\right) g_{ab} + \frac{1}{(M+9)} \bigl(-3\,(M-3)\,(\tau_7)_c \varphi^c{}_{ab} \\ & - (M+33)\, v_a (\tau_7)_b + 3\,(1+M)\,(\tau_7)_a v_b \\ & - \frac{1}{3} v^c \varphi_{cab} \left(9\tau_1 + \tau_1 M - 12\,(\tau_7)_d v^d\right) \\ & + 12 v_a \varphi^{cd}{}_b (\tau_7)_c v_d - 12 v_b \varphi^{cd}{}_a (\tau_7)_c v_d + 12\,(\tau_7)_c v_d \psi^{cd}{}_{ab}\bigr) \end{aligned} \tag{6.73}$$

Following the general procedure outlined above, we used *Maple* to expand the necessary condition (6.58). Again, as before, we consider the projections of $d\left(dv^\flat\right)$. As outlined above we first consider the π_1, π_7 and π_{27} projections of $d\left((v_7)\lrcorner\varphi + v_{14}\right)$.

Denote by ξ_1 the scalar corresponding to the Λ^3_1 component, let ξ_7 and ξ_{27} be the vector and symmetric tensor components. Then by considering the equations $\xi_1 = 0$, $(\xi_7)^a v_a = 0$ and $(\xi_{27})_{mn} v^m v^n = 0$, we can express $(\nabla_a (\tau_7)_b)\, v^a v^b$, $\nabla^a (\tau_7)_a$ and $|\tau_7|^2$ in terms of M, τ_1 and $\langle \tau_7, v\rangle$. In particular, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau_7|^2 \;=\;& \frac{3\langle \tau_7, v\rangle^2 \left(3M^2 - 10M + 51\right)}{(7M^2 - 66M - 9)\,M} - \frac{4}{3}\frac{\tau_1 \langle \tau_7, v\rangle (M+9)^2}{(7M^2 - 66M - 9)} \\ & + \frac{2}{9}\frac{\tau_1^2 M (M+9)^2}{7M^2 - 66M - 9} \end{aligned} \tag{6.74}$$

Further, we can consider the vector equations $\xi_7^d = 0$, $\varphi_{abc} v^b \xi_7^c = 0$, $(\xi_{27})_{mn} v^n = 0$ and $\varphi_{abc} (\xi_{27})^b{}_n v^n v^c = 0$. From these, in particular, we find

$$\tau_7 = \frac{\langle \tau_7, v\rangle}{M} v \tag{6.75}$$

So as before, we get

$$|\tau_7|^2 = \frac{\langle \tau_7, v\rangle^2}{M} \tag{6.76}$$

Now if we equate (6.74) and (6.76), and then solve for $\langle \tau_7, v\rangle$, we obtain an expression for $\langle \tau_7, v\rangle$ in terms of τ_1, τ_7 and v.

$$\langle \tau_7, v\rangle = \frac{M\tau_1}{3} \tag{6.77}$$

Hence,

$$v = \frac{3}{\tau_1}\tau_7. \tag{6.78}$$

and,

$$M = \frac{9}{\tau_1^2} |\tau_7|^2 \tag{6.79a}$$

$$\langle \tau_7, v \rangle = \frac{3}{\tau_1} |\tau_7|^2 \tag{6.79b}$$

Next, from equations $(\xi_{27})_{ab} = 0$, $\varphi^{cd}{}_{(a} (\xi_{27})_{b)d} v_c = 0$ and $\varphi_a{}^{cd} \varphi_b{}^{ef} v_c v_e (\xi_{27})_{df} = 0$, we finally obtain an expression for $\nabla_a (\tau_7)_b$. Using (6.78) and (6.79) to completely eliminate v from the resulting expression, we overall get:

$$\nabla \tau_7 = \left(\frac{1}{3} \tau_1^2 - |\tau_7|^2 \right) g + 5 \tau_7 \otimes \tau_7 \tag{6.80}$$

By first considering the trace of this, we find that we get the condition

$$\nabla_a \tau_7^a + 2 (\tau_7)_a (\tau_7)^a - \frac{7}{3} \tau_1^2 = 0 \tag{6.81}$$

Recall however, that a G_2-structure in the strict torsion class $W_1 \oplus W_7$ has

$$\tau_7 = \nabla (\log \tau_1)$$

So we can rewrite (6.81) as

$$\nabla^2 (\log \tau_1) + 2 |\nabla (\log \tau_1)|^2 - \frac{7}{3} \tau_1^2 = 0 \tag{6.82}$$

Now note that if we let $F = \tau_1^2$, then

$$\nabla^2 F = \frac{14}{3} F^2$$

Multiplying by F, integrating over the whole manifold M, and applying Stokes's Theorem (since M is closed), we get

$$\int_M F \left(\nabla^2 F \right) \mathrm{vol} = - \int_M |\nabla F|^2 \mathrm{vol} = \frac{14}{3} \int_M F^3 \sqrt{\det g} \mathrm{vol} \tag{6.83}$$

However, $F = \tau_1^2$ is a positive function, so the right-hand side is non-negative, while the left-hand side is non-positive, and we can only have equality when both sides vanish. This happens only if $F = 0$ and this implies that both τ_1 and τ_7 vanish. Therefore we cannot have a deformation from $W_1 \oplus W_7$ into W_0. ■

Corollary 24 *Given a deformation* $\varphi \longrightarrow \varphi + v^e \psi_{bcde}$ *of a torsion-free* G_2*-structure* φ*, the torsion of the new* G_2*-structure* $\tilde{\varphi}$ *will necessarily have a non-trivial component in* W_{14} *or* W_{27} *unless* $\nabla v = 0$*.*

Proof. Suppose the vector v defines a deformation a torsion-free G_2-structure results in a new G_2-structure with torsion $\tilde{T}$ lying in $W_1 \oplus W_7$, that is, there is no W_{14} or W_{27} component. Then by Lemma 19, there exists a corresponding deformation, defined by vector $\tilde{v}$, in the opposite direction from $W_1 \oplus W_7$ to a torsion-free G_2-structure. However by Theorem 23, such a deformation exists if and only if $\tilde{\nabla} \tilde{v} = 0$ (and equivalently, by Lemma 19 $\nabla v = 0$) and the torsion $\tilde{T}$ vanishes. ■

Theorem 25 *There is no deformation of the form (6.64) within the strict torsion class* W_1.

Proof. We consider a G_2-structure (φ, g) where the only non-zero component of torsion T is τ_1. Suppose (6.64) gives a deformation to a G_2-structure $(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{g})$ with torsion $\tilde{T}$ with the only non-zero component being $\tilde{\tau}_1$. Then from Theorem 21,

$$\nabla_a v_b = \left(\tau_1 - (1+M)^{\frac{2}{3}}\,\tilde{\tau}_1\right) g_{ab} + 4\,(1+M)^{-\frac{1}{3}}\,\tilde{\tau}_1 v_a v_b - \frac{1}{3} v^c \varphi_{cab} \left(\tau_1 - 4\,(1+M)^{-\frac{1}{3}}\,\tilde{\tau}_1\right) \tag{6.84}$$

and in particular,

$$dv^\flat = -\frac{2}{3} v \lrcorner \varphi \left(\tau_1 - 4\,(1+M)^{-\frac{1}{3}}\,\tilde{\tau}_1\right) \tag{6.85}$$

Then we take $d\left(dv^\flat\right)$, and decompose it into Λ^3_1, Λ^3_7 and Λ^3_{27} components. Since $d\left(dv^\flat\right)$ must vanish, so must each of these components. We hence get the following equations:

$$0 = \tau_1^2 - \frac{1}{21}\frac{\left(9M^2 + 106M + 105\right)\tau_1\tilde{\tau}_1}{(1+M)^{\frac{4}{3}}} + \frac{4}{21}\frac{\left(15M^2 + 21 + 28M\right)\tilde{\tau}_1^2}{(1+M)^{\frac{5}{3}}} \tag{6.86a}$$

$$0 = \left(\tau_1^2 - 5\frac{\tau_1\tilde{\tau}_1}{(1+M)^{\frac{1}{3}}} + \frac{4\tilde{\tau}_1^2}{(1+M)^{\frac{2}{3}}}\right) v^a \tag{6.86b}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = {} & \left(\tau_1^2 - \frac{1}{27}\frac{\left(15M^2 + 142M + 135\right)\tau_1\tilde{\tau}_1}{(1+M)^{\frac{4}{3}}} + \frac{4}{27}\frac{\left(21M^2 + 40M + 27\right)\tilde{\tau}_1^2}{(1+M)^{\frac{5}{3}}}\right) g_{ab} \\ & + \left(\frac{8}{27}\frac{(3M+5)\,\tau_1\tilde{\tau}_1}{(1+M)^{\frac{4}{3}}} - \frac{16}{27}\frac{(3M+7)\,\tilde{\tau}_1^2}{(1+M)^{\frac{5}{3}}}\right) v_a v_b \end{aligned} \tag{6.86c}$$

Now if we contract (6.86b) with v_a and (6.86c) with $v^a v^b$, we get three scalar equations:

$$0 = \tau_1^2 - \frac{1}{21}\frac{\left(9M^2 + 106M + 105\right)\tau_1\tilde{\tau}_1}{(1+M)^{\frac{4}{3}}} + \frac{4}{21}\frac{\left(15M^2 + 21 + 28M\right)\tilde{\tau}_1^2}{(1+M)^{\frac{5}{3}}} \tag{6.87}$$

$$0 = \tau_1^2 - 5\frac{\tau_1\tilde{\tau}_1}{(1+M)^{\frac{1}{3}}} + \frac{4\tilde{\tau}_1^2}{(1+M)^{\frac{2}{3}}} \tag{6.88}$$

$$0 = \tau_1^2 + \frac{1}{9}\frac{\left(3M^2 - 34M - 45\right)\tau_1\tilde{\tau}_1}{(1+M)^{\frac{4}{3}}} + \frac{4}{9}\frac{\left(3M^2 + 4M + 9\right)\tilde{\tau}_1^2}{(1+M)^{\frac{5}{3}}} \tag{6.89}$$

Here our unknowns are τ_1^2, $\tau_1\tilde{\tau}_1$ and $\tilde{\tau}_1^2$. The determinant of the system is $\frac{32}{21}\frac{M^2}{1+M} > 0$, so the only solution is $\tau_1 = \tilde{\tau}_1 = 0$. ■

7 Concluding remarks

In this paper we have studied the deformations of G_2 structures on 7-manifolds. Given a general deformation χ of a G_2-structure (φ, g), we obtained a new G_2-structure $(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{g})$, and for this new G_2-structure we calculated its torsion tensor $\tilde{T}$ in terms of the old G_2-structure (φ, g), its torsion T and the deformation χ. We then specialized to χ lying in Λ^3_7, given by $\chi = -v \lrcorner \psi$. For such a deformation, in Theorem 20, we computed $\tilde{T}$ in terms of v and its derivatives. So then, given a G_2-structure (φ, g) with particular torsion components τ_i, we found the equations that v must satisfy so that the the torsion of $(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{g})$ has particular components $\tilde{\tau}_i$. For particular cases of τ_i and $\tilde{\tau}_i$, we analysed these equations. In particular, we found that a deformation within the zero torsion class is possible if and only if $\nabla v = 0$. In other cases, it was found that there are no deformations of this type that take the torsion from the classes W_1, W_7 and $W_1 \oplus W_7$ to zero. Also, it was found that there are no deformations which take the W_1 torsion class to itself. Although these are all mostly negative results, since deformations in Λ^3_7 are invertible, we can conclude that any such deformation with non-zero ∇v from a torsion-free G_2-structure will yield a G_2-structure whose torsion contains at least a W_{14} or W_{27} component.

So far we have developed a technique for computing the deformed torsion, and of course there is a significant amount of work to be done to fully understand deformations of other torsion classes. Deformations that lie in Λ^3_7 are of course the simplest possible deformations, apart from conformal deformations, since they are defined by just a vector. Also, as we note in Section 6, such a deformation of a positive 3-form still yield a positive 3-form, which does define a G_2-structure. The ultimate aim would be to make sense of non-infinitesimal deformations that lie in Λ^3_{27}. These are then defined by traceless symmetric tensors, and moreover, not all such deformations yield positive 3-forms, so extra conditions need to be imposed. On the other hand, these deformations have many more degrees of freedom than the Λ^3_7 deformations, so we could expect to get more interesting results and unlock many of the mysteries of G_2 manifolds. In particular, one of the aims would be to show if there are any obstructions to deformations of G_2 holonomy manifolds. A even more ambitious program would be to try and understand which G_2-structures exist on a given manifold and what is the smallest torsion class.

References

[1] J. Baez, *The Octonions*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **39** (2002) 145–205.

[2] R. L. Bryant, *Metrics with exceptional holonomy*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **126** (1987), no. 3 525–576.

[3] R. L. Bryant, *Some remarks on G_2-structures*, `math/0305124`.

[4] R. Cleyton and S. Ivanov, *Conformal equivalence between certain geometries in dimension 6 and 7*, *Math. Res. Lett.* **15** (2008), no. 4 631–640 [`math/0607487`].

[5] M. Fernández and A. Gray, *Riemannian manifolds with structure group G_2*, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)* **132** (1982) 19–45 (1983).

[6] T. Friedrich, I. Kath, A. Moroianu and U. Semmelmann, *On nearly parallel G_2-structures*, *J. Geom. Phys.* **23** (1997), no. 3-4 259–286.

[7] A. Gray, *Vector cross products on manifolds*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **141** (1969) 465–504.

[8] S. Grigorian, *Moduli spaces of G2 manifolds*, `0911.2185`.

[9] S. Grigorian and S.-T. Yau, *Local geometry of the G2 moduli space*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **287** (2009) 459–488 [`0802.0723`].

[10] N. J. Hitchin, *The geometry of three-forms in six and seven dimensions*, `math/0010054`.

[11] D. D. Joyce, *Compact manifolds with special holonomy.* Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford University Press, 2000.

[12] S. Karigiannis, *Deformations of G_2 and Spin(7) Structures on Manifolds*, *Canadian Journal of Mathematics* **57** (2005) 1012 [`math/0301218`].

[13] S. Karigiannis, *Geometric Flows on Manifolds with G_2 Structure, I*, `math/0702077`.

[14] S. Karigiannis and N. C. Leung, *Hodge theory for G2-manifolds: Intermediate Jacobians and Abel-Jacobi maps*, `0709.2987`.